

Doc. 300.1.2

Date: 15/11/2021

Higher Education Institution's Response

- **Higher Education Institution:**
Cyprus University of Technology
- **Town:** Limassol
- **Programme of study**
Name (Duration, ECTS, Cycle)

In Greek:

Μεταπτυχιακό Πρόγραμμα με θέμα “Γλωσσική Εκμάθηση Υποβοηθούμενη από τις Νέες Τεχνολογίες”

In English:

MA in Computer Assisted Language Learning (CALL)

- **Language(s) of instruction:** English
- **Programme's status:** Registered but not evaluated
- **Concentrations (if any):**

In Greek: Concentrations

In English: Concentrations



The present document has been prepared within the framework of the authority and competencies of the Cyprus Agency of Quality Assurance and Accreditation in Higher Education, according to the provisions of the “Quality Assurance and Accreditation of Higher Education and the Establishment and Operation of an Agency on Related Matters Laws” of 2015 to 2021 [L.136(I)/2015 – L.132(I)/2021].

A. Guidelines on content and structure of the report

- *The Higher Education Institution (HEI) based on the External Evaluation Committee's (EEC's) evaluation report (Doc.300.1.1 or 300.1.1/2 or 300.1.1/3 or 300.1.1/4) must justify whether actions have been taken in improving the quality of the programme of study in each assessment area.*
- *In particular, under each assessment area, the HEI must respond on, without changing the format of the report:*
 - *the findings, strengths, areas of improvement and recommendations of the EEC*
 - *the conclusions and final remarks noted by the EEC*
- *The HEI's response must follow below the EEC's comments, which must be copied from the external evaluation report (Doc.300.1.1 or 300.1.1/2 or 300.1.1/3 or 300.1.1/4).*
- *In case of annexes, those should be attached and sent on a separate document.*

A. Introduction

This part includes basic information regarding the onsite visit.

The onsite visit was conducted remotely on Wednesday 21st of July, starting at 10.00 EEST and concluding at 17.00 approximately. The EEC met with: the Rector and Vice Rector for Academic Affairs; members of the Internal Evaluation Committee; the Head of Department and Programme Coordinator as well as teaching and administrative staff. There was a meeting with students. There was a virtual visit of facilities and a brief live streaming of the on-line delivery of courses. Staff at all levels provided useful overview presentations of the university, the department and Language Centre and responded in a helpful way to the EEC questions. Additional requests for material not provided, including access to the Moodle and the on-line holdings of the library were facilitated.

B. External Evaluation Committee (EEC)

<i>Name Position University</i>		
Mike Baynham	Emeritus Professor	University of Leeds
James Simpson	Associate Professor	Hong Kong University of Science & Technology
George J. Xydopoulos	Professor	University of Patras (Greece)
Marco Kalz	Professor (DL Expert)	Heidelberg University of Education
Maria Christoforou	Student	University of Cyprus

1. Study programme and study programme's design and development (ESG 1.1, 1.2, 1.7, 1.8, 1.9)

1. Study programme and study programme's design and development (ESG 1.1, 1.2, 1.7, 1.8, 1.9)

Sub-areas

1.1 Policy for quality assurance

1.2 Design, approval, on-going monitoring and review 1.3 Public information

1.4 Information management

1.1 Policy for quality assurance

Standards

- *Policy for quality assurance of the programme of study:*
 - *has a formal status and is publicly available*
 - *supports the organisation of the quality assurance system through appropriate structures, regulations and processes*
 - *supports teaching, administrative staff and students to take on their responsibilities in quality assurance*
 - *ensures academic integrity and freedom and is vigilant against academic fraud*
 - *guards against intolerance of any kind or discrimination against the students or staff*
 - *supports the involvement of external stakeholders*

1.2 Design, approval, on-going monitoring and review

Standards

- *The programme of study:*
 - *is designed with overall programme objectives that are in line with the institutional strategy and have explicit intended learning outcomes*
 - *is designed by involving students and other stakeholders*
 - *benefits from external expertise*
 - *reflects the four purposes of higher education of the Council of Europe (preparation for sustainable employment, personal development, preparation for life as active citizens in democratic societies, the development and maintenance, through teaching, learning and research, of a broad, advanced knowledge base)*
 - *is designed so that it enables smooth student progression*

- *is designed so that the exams' and assignments' content corresponds to the level of the programme and the number of ECTS*
- *defines the expected student workload in ECTS*
- *includes well-structured placement opportunities where appropriate* ○ *is subject to a formal institutional approval process*
- *results in a qualification that is clearly specified and communicated, and refers to the correct level of the National Qualifications Framework for Higher Education and, consequently, to the Framework for Qualifications of the European Higher Education Area*
- *is regularly monitored in the light of the latest research in the given discipline, thus ensuring that the programme is up-to-date*
- *is periodically reviewed so that it takes into account the changing needs of society, the students' workload, progression and completion, the effectiveness of procedures for assessment of students, student expectations, needs and satisfaction in relation to the programme*
 - *is reviewed and revised regularly involving students and other stakeholders*

1.3 Public information

Standards

- *Regarding the programme of study, clear, accurate, up-to date and readily accessible information is published about:*
 - *selection criteria*
 - *intended learning outcomes*
 - *qualification awarded*
 - *teaching, learning and assessment procedures*
 - *pass rates*
 - *learning opportunities available to the students*
 - *graduate employment information*

1.4 Information management

Standards

- *Information for the effective management of the programme of study is collected, monitored and analysed:*
 - *key performance indicators*
 - *profile of the student population*
 - *student progression, success and drop-out rates*
 - *students' satisfaction with their programmes*
 - *learning resources and student support available*

- *career paths of graduates*
- *Students and staff are involved in providing and analysing information and planning follow-up activities.*

You may also consider the following questions:

- *What is the procedure for quality assurance of the programme and who is involved?*
- *Who is involved in the study programme's design and development (launching, changing, internal evaluation) and what is taken into account (strategies, the needs of society, etc.)?*
- *How/to what extent are students themselves involved in the development of the content of their studies?*
- *Please evaluate a) whether the study programme remains current and consistent with developments in society (labour market, digital technologies, etc.), and b) whether the content and objectives of the study programme are in accordance with each other?*
- *Do the content and the delivery of the programme correspond to the European Qualifications Framework (EQF)?*
- *How is coherence of the study programme ensured, i.e., logical sequence and coherence of courses? How are substantial overlaps between courses avoided? How is it ensured that the teaching staff is aware of the content and outputs of their colleagues' work within the same study programme?*
- *How does the study programme support development of the learners' general competencies (including digital literacy, foreign language skills, entrepreneurship, communication and teamwork skills)?*
- *What are the scope and objectives of the foundation courses in the study programme (where appropriate)? What are the pass rates?*
- *How long does it take a student on average to graduate? Is the graduation rate for the study programme analogous to other European programmes with similar content? What is the pass rate per course/semester?*
- ***How is it ensured that the actual student workload is in accordance with the workload expressed by ECTS?***
- *What are the opportunities for international students to participate in the study programme (courses/modules taught in a foreign language)?*
 - *Is information related to the programme of study publicly available?*
 - *How is the HEI evaluating the success of its graduates in the labor market? What is the feedback from graduates of the study programme on their employment and/or continuation of studies?*
- *Have the results of student feedback been analysed and taken into account, and how (e.g., when planning in-service training for the teaching staff)?*
- *What are the reasons for dropping out (voluntary withdrawal)? What has been done to reduce the number of such students?*

Findings

A short description of the situation in the Higher Education Institution (HEI), based on elements from the application for external evaluation and on findings from the onsite visit.

Sub area 1.1: With regard to the institutional context, it is clear that quality assurance is a relatively new feature of the university structure, as it is in the Cyprus higher education system more generally. It is overseen at the university level by the internal evaluation committee with representation from all departments. Quality assurance for the programme is monitored at department level by the Internal Evaluation Committee. There is an Advisory Committee which monitors the academic operation of the MA given that it is with the LC and staff are not in the academic ranks. It appears that in the development of the programme quality assurance procedures, such as consulting stakeholders and obtaining input from international evaluation was followed. The committee was informed that the programme self evaluates on a yearly basis with a more formal evaluation envisaged on a three or four year cycle. The committee feels that a three or four year cycle is perhaps too long. The representative of the internal evaluation committee with whom we spoke regarded this programme as exemplary in its approach to quality assurance processes but also made it clear that the introduction of quality assurance processes across the university involves major culture change and was therefore a slow process. He saw the University as being in the first stage of this process. A quality assurance manual for the university is being prepared. The committee appreciated the honest evaluation provided as well as the commitment of programme staff to implementing QA processes. There appears to be basic quality assurance processes in place such as processing of learning objectives and the collecting of student evaluations but we didn't find evidence of Key Performance Indicators, against which the success of the programme could be measured. Students are involved in quality assurance processes through providing end of course evaluations which are compulsory and also have representation on university committees. There may be room to develop further strategies for students' involvement in quality as, such as the use of focus groups. While the development of the programme drew on quality assurance procedures, the committee feels that this needs to be maintained and strengthened in the regular evaluation process, particularly in relation to obtaining feedback from stakeholders and benchmarking with similar programs overseas. In this connection the programme's involvement in a number of international research networks provides a promising basis to develop this aspect of the quality process in order to ensure ongoing updating of the programme. The judgement on partial compliance reflects the relatively early stage in the QA process institutionally, rather than any lack of commitment on the part of programme staff.

Sub area 1.2: The programme of study is designed with clear overall objectives which stress "research" as one of the main goals. While the program reflects the four purposes of higher education of the Council of Europe, its overall competitive positioning in the European Higher Education Area is less developed and less consciously designed including the name of the programme. Arguably CALL is a somewhat outdated term for this field. The programme consists of 8 compulsory courses plus a Master's thesis in line with the European ECTS framework in which students' workload and assessment is clearly defined. This design allows a smooth student progression. The qualification is on the whole clearly specified and communicated and fits to the right EQF level. While in the paper documentation a course review every 3 - 4 years is specified, the discussion during the visitation has shown that an annual course-review is happening in the programme of study. The committee would recommend updates of the literature used in several modules to include some more recent discussions in the field. It notes evidence that this is taking place, but emphasizes this should be ongoing. In addition, the committee recommends to consider a different name for the program to position the programme in more recent societal discussions (for example "Technology Enhanced Language Learning").

1.3 Public Information: The MA in CALL Programme (hereafter MA CALL) is presented in English twice on dedicated webpages on both the MGA Department (hereafter MGAD) site: (<https://www.cut.ac.cy/faculties/aac/mga/degrees/postgraduate-programmes/ma/>) and the Language Centre (hereafter LC) site: (<https://www.cut.ac.cy/faculties/languagecentre/courses/postgraduate/mastercall/>). This creates a potential for confusion.

The LC webpage of the MA CALL is better organized with quick links to information about: enrollment (admission requirements, application process, fees and deadlines), programme (aims, university regulations, advertising poster, video of graduates/students testimonials), course structure (description of modules offered and text materials), staff (teaching staff profiles and detailed CVs, advisory committee). The description of the modules does not give access to the detailed file of each module (namely “study guide”) that should be available to students after enrollment. Further information is provided through the sidebar menu in the course structure webpage about: academic ethics, attendance and online participation regulations for students, mode of module delivery and communication between students and teaching staff, duration of studies, the grading system, learning outcomes, teaching and assessment, and bibliographical resources. Information is clear, accurate and up-to-date throughout, however navigation through the different subpages should be improved in order for information to be more easily accessible through the quick links at the home webpage of MA CALL. Given that a lot of information is duplicated between the MGAD site and the LC site it would be better if the two merged in a unique MA CALL site by keeping the LC site and just have a hyperlink on the MGAD site.

Sub-area 1.4: The programme received institutional approval in 2014 and received its first students in 2015. Numbers on the programme are small: eight students enrolled in the first year, falling to three in 2017, but rising to 10 who have paid their deposit and are committed to attending in the coming academic year (2021/22). The programme did not recruit in 2020: the programme team informed the EEC that this was due to the coronavirus pandemic. This decision surprised the EEC, since the pandemic if anything has led to a rise in on-line recruitment. The team also attributes the recent rise in numbers in part to the pandemic. With much if not all teaching and learning moving online since March 2021, there is a corresponding recognition of the role that online and distance programmes can play in language teacher education (e.g. the CUT MA in CALL), and of the role of digital technology in language education in general. The Language Centre and specifically the staff of the MA CALL seem to be playing a major role in this across the university, which may in itself pose a threat for the academic development of the programme, if attention is spread too thinly. This issue will be addressed below. The low numbers in the past raised questions for the external evaluators about the overall viability for the programme, from the perspective of the University.

However, according to the former Programme Coordinator, the programme has institutional support from the top, and is being allowed to establish itself over time. This sense was ratified by the comments made by the Rector and the leader of the Internal Evaluation Committee during the evaluation, both of whom appear to be strongly supportive of the programme and committed to its future maintenance and development.

Student profile: Although there is no specific requirement for students to have language teaching experience, most students on the programme are currently or aspire to be language teachers. In the programme documentation and individual module (course) descriptions an assumption is made that students on the programme will have access to students of their own. For example, the course purpose and objectives of LCE511 state: ‘Moreover, participants are provided with the opportunity for reflecting on their own current language teaching practices and on adapting these according to recent developments.’ The entry requirements themselves are described in detail in the original proposal document and are available on the university website (see also 1.3 above). According to the programme team, if students are not already working in formal learning

contexts, they might have private students with whom to test ideas developed on the programme. The team also use their personal contacts among private language institutes locally if students on the programme need to have access to language students. Most but not all students focus on the teaching of English (also referred to as the ‘lingua franca’ of the course), with teachers of Russian, Turkish and Igbo also represented. The majority of the students are local Cypriots, and welcome the programme’s online mode because of the flexibility it affords in relation to work and family commitments. Some students are based in Greece and further afield (e.g. a Nigerian student based in Brazil). Graduates from the programme typically find jobs as language teachers, or gain promotion in their current jobs on the strength of acquiring the MA qualification. Some move on to PhD study. The Language Centre and the Office of Studies (Careers Office) monitor the career paths of graduates of the programme.

Student progression, success and drop-out rates: Records of individual students’ study history are held by the Language Centre. The programme advisory committee discusses student performance and progress annually. Key performance indicators for the programme are not mentioned specifically in the evaluation documentation and if this is not a current aspect of the CUT it should be in the next phase of QA development.

Student satisfaction with their programme: The current and former students we spoke to are overwhelmingly positive about their programme. The programme team has worked hard to generate online social presence amongst students and their tutors, which is commendable in a distance education course (helped too by small student numbers). Areas of strength mentioned by the students included a theory-to practice approach on the course, the good organization, and academic and pastoral support provided by the course team. The modules on curriculum development (LCE513) and assessment (LCE515) were singled out for particular praise. A selection of quotes from the students: “this was the best experience of my life”; “we were like a family”; “Overall it couldn’t be better”. Students mentioned a small number of shortcomings, including the requirement to attend examinations in person (since revised in the wake of the coronavirus pandemic); the lack of a part time option (though this appears to be available according to the course documentation); and the low weighting attached to the dissertation, in relation to the effort needed to complete it successfully (though the course documentation suggests that the dissertation accounts for a third of the grade/credits).

Learning resources and student support available: On the whole students seem well-supported by the IT service, the Language Centre administrative staff, the admissions team, and student welfare. Administrative staff mentioned the training offered to students in the use of the online platforms employed on the programme and the accessibility tools on Moodle used to cater for students with learning difficulties and disabilities.

Student involvement in providing and analysing information: The principal means for students to offer feedback on their programme is the course evaluation survey and through membership of university committees at all levels. The home department (Multimedia and Graphic Arts) involves students in QA processes principally through the analysis of course evaluation questionnaires. There is therefore room to consider more creative ways of involving students in the QA process.

Strengths

A list of strengths, e.g. examples of good practices, achievements, innovative solutions etc. 1.1

- The development of the program involved appropriate consultation with key stakeholder and international feedback.
- The commitment of the program staff to implementing QA procedures is clear and the program is regarded as a model in relation to QA implementation in the university as a whole in the unrolling of QA so far.

1.2

- The overall design of the program is consistent and student progressions seems to be well warranted.

1.3

- The webpage of the MA CALL on the LC site offers clear, full and accurate information about the programme.

1.4

- The support for students provided by the programme team, the admin staff (IT, library, Language Centre, admissions) helps ensure their strong satisfaction with the programme as a whole.

Areas of improvement and recommendations

A list of problem areas to be dealt with, followed by or linked to the recommendations of how to improve the situation.

1.1

- a) Recommendation:** The Program, in conjunction with its parent Department should consider a shorter cycle of formal program evaluation, either biennial or triennial.

Response/Comments:

The EEC's recommendation has been taken into consideration and a triennial formal programme evaluation has been put forward.

- b) Recommendation:** Further strategies should be developed for student involvement in quality assurance, in addition to the use of end of programme questionnaires and participation in university committees, such as the use of focus groups. This will be particularly important in phases of formal evaluation but should also be conducted on a yearly basis.

Response/Comments:

The EEC's recommendation has been taken into consideration and focus groups and unstructured personal interviews will be employed on a yearly basis in addition to the existing measures of ensuring quality assurance.

- c) Recommendation:** While the development of the program involved appropriate consultation there is a need to do this on an ongoing basis, canvassing local and international requirements and benchmarking the program with similar programs internationally.

Response/Comments:

Quality attainment and improvement is one of the most important features of the MA in CALL. Of equal importance is benchmarking to continually improve and remain competitive. The MA in CALL embraces the concept of benchmarking and develops ongoing consultation with local and international stakeholders striving to improve academic excellence. Following the recommendation of the EEC and in an effort to improve our own practice we adopted and applied the top five principles of good university benchmarking by Tee (2016). Following are the procedures we have followed based on these five principles:

1. *Selecting partners that one can learn from and improve one's own practices by showing commitment to performance and processes.*

Initially, research was carried out to identify similar or same programmes, that is, which had the same or similar programme title. We only looked into the programmes that were related to CALL and/or TELL. We excluded programmes which had a more general title but included one or two technology related modules and stand-alone modules. We then set some areas for benchmarking (i.e., delivery mode, course content, learning and assessment methods, and entry requirements) and continued by comparing our programme to the ones selected. You can find a comparative table in this [link \(http://surl.li/arkio\)](http://surl.li/arkio).

We also contacted a member of faculty at the University of Nottingham who teaches on the MA in Digital Technologies for Language Teaching programme at the University of Nottingham and benchmarked our programmes on performance indicators and key processes. One of the strong aspects of the MA in CALL highlighted by the member of faculty was the fact that all faculty teaching on the programme are permanent staff. This ensures the commitment of the staff and the coherence of the programme.

The intention is to follow the same first step in the benchmarking procedure with people who work on similar or same programmes, as identified in our search (see comparative table above).

Additionally, we contacted the following people, asking them to provide feedback and consultation on the MA in CALL programme and from who we received a positive reply:

- Professor Ana Gimeno, Professor in Applied Linguistics at Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain. Professor Gimeno is one of the experts who contributed to the establishment of CUT's MA in Computer Assisted Language Learning back in 2015.
- Dr Mirjam Hauck, the President of the European Association for Computer Assisted Language Learning (EUROCALL).
- Dr Anne Chateau, European Confederation of Language Centres in Higher Education (CercleS) General Secretariat.

2. *Perceiving that data collection is the activity that follows after the key performance and processes are fully understood.*

Having decided upon the performance indicators (Section 1.4, Recommendation d) and the processes (see Principle 1 above) we proceeded with the benchmarking process. The data collected were mainly based on the set KPIs (Section 1.4, Recommendation d) and areas of benchmarking (see comparative table - <http://surl.li/arkio>) and will be used to determine the quality of the programme in comparison to other ones, as well as provide information on how to further attain and maintain quality.

3. *Establishing ownership of the benchmarking process among all the participants in order to undertake improvement.*

According to this principle, it is of great importance that all institutional stakeholders are committed to the attainment of the programme's quality. In the case of our institution, and as could be seen during the evaluation procedure, senior and specialist staff, management, the MAs academic committee, administrative staff, as well as the local consulting committee (which has been formed upon the recommendation of the EEC) are already involved in the quality improvement changes and are committed to continue in being involved in this process.

4. *Thinking of benchmarking as an ongoing act and incorporating the feedback into the process and performance improvement.*

Now that contacts have been established and efforts have been made to benchmark the MA in CALL programme, these procedures will be further refined and implemented on an ongoing basis. We are positive that this ongoing procedure will help us identify strengths and weaknesses and accentuate the former and work on the latter, always taking into close consideration our local and external stakeholders' feedback and consultation.

5. *Incorporating the feedback from benchmarking activities into the process and performance improvement.*

We have already started incorporating the feedback from our benchmarking activities, and based on recommendation of the EEC, we are following procedures for changing the title of the programme and the entry requirements. We have also considered changing and updating our materials in our modules. We will continue to reflect on the changes, discuss them and seek further feedback from our stakeholders.

Tee, K. F. (2016). Suitability of performance indicators and benchmarking practices in UK universities. *Benchmarking: An International Journal*. Vol. 23 No. 3, pp. 584-600, DOI 10.1108/BIJ-07-2014-0069.

d) Recommendation: An advisory committee drawn from local stakeholders should be set up.

Response/Comments:

An advisory committee drawn from local stakeholders has been formed.

We contacted the following local stakeholders to join a local consulting committee for the MA in CALL. In particular, these people hold prominent positions, through which they

convey information about the needs of language teachers in the workplace, both in the public and private sector, and can disseminate information regarding the specific MA programme. These local stakeholders have accepted our invitation and are as follows:

- Dr Maria Diakou, CyTEA (Cyprus Teachers of English Association) President (<https://www.ip-exhibitions.eu/associations/cytea/>). (CyTEA) is an association in the field of English Language Learning and Teaching. The association is open to all English language teachers, or other foreign language teachers, regardless of what educational level they work at or whether they work in the private or state sector.
- Ms Maria Iacovidou, English Language Inspector, Ministry of Education and Culture, Sports and Youth of Cyprus, Secondary School Inspector (<http://www.moec.gov.cy/en/>)
- Dr Christina Papasolomontos, Head of the Department of Educational Documentation, Cyprus Pedagogical Institute, Ministry of Education and Culture, Sports and Youth of Cyprus (<https://www.pi.ac.cy/pi/>)

e) Recommendation: A number of programmes should be identified internationally to benchmark the program and regularly monitored for innovations.

Response/Comments:

As mentioned in the reply to recommendation c, Section 1.1. above, research was carried out to establish which other universities offer the same or similar programmes. In particular, it was found that in Cyprus no other university offers an MA in CALL, while internationally it was found that only other four universities offer a similar or same programme. These are as follows:

- University of Nottingham: MA in Digital Technologies for Language Teaching, which is running at present, but will not be offered next year.
- University of Canterbury (New Zealand): MA in CALL (<https://www.canterbury.ac.nz/study/qualifications-and-courses/masters-degrees/master-of-computer-assisted-language-learning/>)
- Universitat Oberta de Catalunya (Latin America): MA in Technology Mediated Language Teaching and Learning. (<https://studies.uoc.edu/en/university-masters-degrees/technology-language-teaching/programme>)
- University of Stirling: MSc in TESOL (option to specialize in Computer Assisted Language Learning) (<https://www.stir.ac.uk/courses/pg-taught/tesol-masters/>)

We compared key areas of interest (e.g., mode of delivery, course content, learning and assessment methods, etc.) of these programmes with our own. A comparative table is available in this [link](http://surl.li/arkio) (<http://surl.li/arkio>).

Overall, it has been found that a whole master's course in CALL/TELL is scarce. A separate component in CALL/TELL is usually part of a broader master's programme in TESOL/TEFL (e.g. University of the West of Scotland).

1.2

a) Recommendation: Marketing should be undertaken on a regular iterative basis, not just in the setting up of the programme and should be sensitive to changes to the market and the necessity for the programme to adapt.

Response/Comments:

As far as marketing is concerned, the University has recently established the Communication, Marketing, and International Relations Services with which we closely collaborate to advertise

and promote the specific programme. At the same time, the University advertises the programme through its local stakeholders, mass and social media (e.g., paideia news, Mastersportal) in Cyprus and abroad. The Communication, Marketing, and International Relations Services will also assign agents abroad to advertise the programme.

Approach to the master's recruitment:

Our target group for the recruitment of this master's is broad: it includes education bachelor's students, novice and practicing teachers both local and from abroad.

The <https://www.cut.ac.cy/studies/masters/master-programmes/lce-gr-call/> website is our most important tool in recruiting master's students.

We also advertise on Keystone Academic Solution and the MA in CALL's social media (LinkedIn, Facebook/Instagram)

The Masters' brochure:

The CUT Master's Programmes brochure contains general information about the University and the master's programmes it offers. This brochure is also available in English. The development and editing are the responsibility of the Communication, Marketing, and International Relations Services, while faculties deliver information about the study programmes.

International master's recruitment:

The following play a key role in the recruitment of international master's students:

- Academic contacts
- International partners
- Education fairs abroad
- Enquiry management (FAQ base with helpdesk + enquiry database)

Moreover, the University has subscribed to online education portals and has developed a profile for the MA Programme for the purposes of promotion abroad.

Finally, the University awaits approval to recruit promotion agents, who will advertise all programmes abroad.

Organisation:

The following units are involved in the recruitment of master's students:

The Communication, Marketing, and International Relations Services;

The faculties' communications departments;

As noted earlier (see Recommendation 1.1.c) the MA in CALL embraces the concept of benchmarking and remains competitive and sensitive to changes through the processes being adopted. Finally, the fact that the MA in CALL programme has recently been recognised by DOATAP (Hellenic National Academic Recognition and Information Centre), will definitely contribute to the marketing efforts, since students from Greece, which constitute an important part of our target market, will no longer hesitate to apply for the programme.

- b) Recommendation:** Results of the market analysis should be used to give the programme a more unique profile which takes into account very recent technological developments and their implications for language learning. This profile should be also reflected in the naming and branding of the programme.

Response/Comments:

The EEC's recommendation has been taken into consideration. Indeed, the uniqueness of the programme lays on technological developments and their implications for language learning and teaching. As the faculty of the programme is involved in research and research programmes pertaining to the use of state-of-the art technologies and their implications for language learning (cf. [DC4LT](http://surl.li/arkiz) programme - <http://surl.li/arkiz>), these activities are highly reflected in the modules of the MA in CALL. This uniqueness will now be reflected in the renaming of the programme, as MA in Technology-Enhanced Language Learning (MA in TELL). Additionally, through the benchmarking process, we found that no other university offers a programme with the title MA in TELL. The uniqueness of our programme, moreover, can be reflected in the fact that it combines theory with practice and it is one of the very few programmes that are offered fully online and serves the needs of a wide range of students (whether these are practitioners or recent graduates).

We should note that the existing students (2021-2022) will receive a degree in CALL, whilst the new cohort (2022-2023) will receive a degree in TELL, that is, upon approval from the appropriate university bodies.

1.2

- a) **Recommendation:** the two current webpages are merged under the LC webpage. Quick links could contain all topics so information is more easily accessible.

Response/Comments:

The EEC's recommendation has been taken into consideration and we have made the information more easily accessible on our website. A quick link has been added to merge the Language Centre's website with the MA in CALL website. In addition, a quick link to the Research undertaken by MA in CALL faculty has been added, as well as a quick link to the Local Consulting Committee.

1.4

- a) **Recommendation:** As suggested in 1.1 students should be more closely involved with QA and information management processes. Students do not appear to have access to all the information that they need about part-time options for study.

Response/Comments:

This point has been closely taken into consideration and the programme will be offered on a part-time basis in the next academic year.

- b) **Recommendation:** Further clarity should be provided in the admissions criteria about the need for students to be practicing teachers or at least to have access to students of their own, for aspects of the programme.

Response/Comments:

The admission criteria include the following:

General entry requirements

• A Bachelor's Degree

To meet the entry requirements for master's level studies at CUT students must have an accredited University degree relevant to language education or education, awarded by an accredited institution in the country where it operates, or a degree evaluated as equivalent to

a University degree by the Cyprus Council for the Recognition of Higher Education Qualifications (KYSATS).

Other qualifications similar or equivalent to those listed above will be accepted, as determined by the programme's Admission Committee.

In the case the degree qualifications are not similar or equivalent to those listed above, the applicant should provide evidence of the following:

- A minimum of one year of language teaching or educational experience

AND/OR

- A diploma/certificate related to language teaching/teaching in an educational context (e.g. PGCE, CELTA, CLTA Spanish, DPAFP-FLE, etc.)

•English Language Proficiency

Students must demonstrate proficiency in English at C1 level of the CEFR through an internationally recognised test such as TOEFL, IELTS or equivalent. Required Test Scores:

IELTS score of 6.5 and above

TOEFLiBT of 95 and above

Cambridge English Scale of 180 and above

EF SET 61 and above

Global Scale of English Pearson Test 76 and above

In case a candidate has graduated from a bachelor's programme taught in English, the English proficiency requirement will be waived.

Conditional Admission

Students who have not been awarded a bachelor's degree but are in their final year of studies may be conditionally admitted to a master's programme at the Cyprus University of Technology. When applying, an official transcript of records and a document stating that they are likely to be awarded the degree by the start of the master's programme must also be provided. These documents must be issued through and certified by a member of the Academic Registrar's Office, the Examinations Office, or the equivalent department at the student's institution. Certification from other staff members, such as faculty or college staff members, will not be accepted.

Students who are conditionally admitted must provide a certificate copy of their bachelor's degree upon graduation and before commencing the Master's programme.

Our reply to the EEC's recommendation is that, based on the above admission criteria, applicants are not required to be practising teachers or have access to students. See point below (Recommendation c) about options for non-practising teachers.

c) Recommendation: For applicants who are not practicing teachers, options should be in place to provide practical training and these should be communicated to them.

Response/Comments:

For applicants who are not practising teachers, the following options are in place:

- the instructors/supervisors on the programme give students access to University language classrooms for teaching and/or research purposes

OR

- the instructors/supervisors of the programme make provisions for short-term access to private language institutes.

d) Recommendation: A more rigorous process could be put in place, using Key Performance

Response/Comments:

Indicators concerning how the programme's success can be understood, in terms of student recruitment, pass/fail rates, student attendance rate, career paths following graduation have been determined.

The MA in CALL already measures and tracks the progress of the programme in order to monitor and evaluate how well the programme is performing and formulate a strategic plan for meeting the set goals. The indicators below are important for determining the regional and national impact of the programme, as well as its long-term sustainability and viability.

- **Number of Students Enrolled Per Number of Applications:** This metric helps us keep track of the acceptance rate. Students are the lifeblood and purpose of the MA in CALL-thus it is crucial to recruit high-quality applications that enter the programme. Currently, the MA in CALL has a low number of students enrolled per number of applications (7:13). Also, the programme recruits approximately 5-8 new students every year and aims at raising the ratio of students enrolled per number of applications to 15:30. The members of the MA in CALL will leverage their exposure to teaching communities worldwide aiming at recruiting high-quality applicants in the programme.
- **Students' attendance rate.** By measuring how frequently students log-in to the learning area, attending webinars and tutorials provides an idea of student engagement. Currently, students achieved 90% of attendance rate and we aim at raising this to 95% attendance during the current academic year. This rate will be measured by keeping track of students' attendance activity in Moodle, as well as attendance to webinars and tutorials.
- **Student to Teacher Ratio.** Currently, the MA in CALL has a low number of students admitted per class, per teacher (7:1). This creates the best opportunity to give each student the attention they need. This is one unique selling point for this programme as it indicates that plenty of contact time and support will be available. We aim at reaching this ratio to 15:1 maximum in order to ensure the high quality of the programme.
- **Course Completion/Graduation Rate.** This metric helps measure the success of the programme. Currently, the MA in CALL has a high graduation rate (78.5% for students enrolled between 2015-2019) and aims at raising this to 85% until the end of 2025.
- **Number of Publications Per Academic Staff:** The numbers of refereed publications in reputable journals is a KPI which measures the research output of the programme. During the last five years the current members of the MA in CALL have published in total 77 papers, amongst which 7 publications are co-authored with MA in CALL graduates. The MA in CALL will keep targeting highly visible and influential publication venues; both highly cited and influential conferences and high impact factor journals in the area of CALL as well as multidisciplinary journals (e.g., Frontiers). We intend to maintain the existing number and increase the number of co-authored publications with MA in CALL students and graduates to 10 by 30/12/2025. We will target mostly the most influential of the venues in each area (e.g., the top 4

CALL journals), such as Computer-Assisted Language Learning, CALICO, Language Learning and Technology and ReCALL.

- **Excess fund revenue over fund expenditure.** The excess of fund revenue, after fund expenditure has been deducted, allows educational institutions to calculate the profit they have generated for the period. This surplus enables us to invest in our facilities, infrastructure, and teaching. This KPI is designed to inform the operating efficiency and cost productivity of the institution's core activities. Currently, the excess fund revenue over fund expenditure is approximately 12k and we aim at raising this to 20k by 30/12/2025 by making our programme more visible in promotional campaigns in Cyprus and abroad.
- **Graduate employment and satisfaction:** Graduates are surveyed using a questionnaire one/two years after graduation. 54.5% of the respondents indicated that the programme has improved their professional status in terms of professional and research skills, and the integration of technology in teaching in a sound pedagogical way. Amongst the achievements highlighted by graduates are being admitted to PhD programmes, being involved in publications and receiving awards (e.g., Winner of the Kahler Scholarship in Saint Louis University) as well as being able to address the needs of their students during the pandemic. We intend to increase the employment and satisfaction rate to 70% by 30/12/2025.

Please select what is appropriate for each of the following sub-areas:

Sub-area		<i>Non-compliant/ Partially Compliant/Compliant</i>
1.1	Policy for quality assurance	Partially compliant
1.2	Design, approval, on-going monitoring and review	Compliant
1.3	Public information	Compliant
1.4	Information management	Partially compliant

2. Student – centred learning, teaching and assessment (ESG 1.3)

2. Student – centred learning, teaching and assessment (ESG 1.3)

Sub-areas

2.2 Process of teaching and learning and student-centred teaching methodology

2.3 Practical training

2.4 Student assessment

2.5 Study guides structure, content and interactive activities

2.1 Process of teaching and learning and student-centred teaching methodology

Standards

- *The e-learning methodology is appropriate for the particular programme of study. ● Expected teleconferences for presentations, discussion and question-answer sessions, and guidance are set.*
- *A specific plan is developed to safeguard and assess the interaction:*
 - *among students*
 - *between students and teaching staff*
 - *between students and study guides/material of study*
- *Training, guidance and support are provided to the students focusing on interaction and the specificities of e-learning.*
 - *The process of teaching and learning supports students' individual and social development.*
 - *The process of teaching and learning is flexible, considers different modes of e-learning delivery, where appropriate, uses a variety of pedagogical methods and facilitates the achievement of planned learning outcomes.*
 - *Students are encouraged to take an active role in creating the e-learning process.*
 - *The implementation of student-centered learning and teaching encourages a sense of autonomy in the learner, while ensuring adequate guidance and support from the teacher.*
 - *Teaching methods, tools and material used in teaching are modern, effective, support the use of modern educational technologies and are regularly updated.*
 - *Mutual respect within the learner-teacher relationship is promoted.*
- *The implementation of student-centred learning and teaching respects and attends to the diversity of students and their needs, enabling flexible learning paths.*
- *Appropriate procedures for dealing with students' complaints regarding the process of teaching and learning are set.*

2.2 Practical training

Standards

- *Practical and theoretical studies are interconnected.*
- *The organisation and the content of practical training, if applicable, support achievement of planned learning outcomes and meet the needs of the stakeholders.*

2.3 Student assessment

Standards

- *A complete assessment framework is designed, focusing on e-learning methodology, including clearly defined evaluation criteria for student assignments and the final examination.*
- *Assessment is consistent, fairly applied to all students and carried out in accordance with the stated procedures.*
- *Assessment is appropriate, transparent, objective and supports the development of the learner.*
- *The criteria for the method of assessment, as well as criteria for marking, are published in advance.*
- *Assessment allows students to demonstrate the extent to which the intended learning outcomes have been achieved. Students are given feedback, which, if necessary, is linked to advice on the e-learning process.*
 - *Assessment, where possible, is carried out by more than one examiner.*
 - *A formal procedure for student appeals is in place.*
- *Assessors are familiar with existing testing and examination methods and receive support in developing their own skills in this field.*
 - *The regulations for assessment take into account mitigating circumstances.*

2.4 Study guides structure, content and interactive activities

Standards

- *A study guide for each course, fully aligned with e-learning philosophy and methodology and the need for student interaction with the material is developed. The study guide should include, for each course week / module, the following:*

- *Clearly defined objectives and expected learning outcomes of the programme, of the modules and activities in an organised and coherent manner*
 - *Presentation of course material, and students' activities on a weekly basis, in a variety of ways and means (e.g. printed material, electronic material, teleconferencing, multimedia)*
 - *Weekly schedule of interactive activities and exercises (i.e. simulations, problem solving, scenarios, argumentation)*
 - *Clear instructions for creating posts, discussion, and feedback*
 - *Self-assessment exercises and self-correction guide*
 - *Bibliographic references and suggestions for further study*
 - *Number of assignments/papers and their topics, along with instructions and additional study material*
 - *Synopsis*
- *Study guides, material and activities are appropriate for the level of the programme according to the EQF.*

You may also consider the following questions:

- *Is the nature of the programme compatible with e-learning delivery?*
- *How do the programme, the material, the facilities, and the guidelines safeguard the interaction between students, students and teaching staff, students and the material?*
- *How many students upload their work and discuss it in the platform during the semester?*
- *How is it monitored that the teaching staff base their teaching and assessment methods on objectives and intended learning outcomes? Provide samples of examination papers (if available).*
- *How are students' different abilities, learning needs and learning opportunities taken into consideration when conducting educational activities?*
- *How is the development of students' general competencies (including digital skills) supported in educational activities?*
- *How is it ensured that innovative teaching methods, learning environments and learning aids that support learning are diverse and used in educational activities?*
- *Is the teaching staff using new technology in order to make the teaching process more effective?*
- *How is it ensured that theory and practice are interconnected in teaching and learning?*
- *How is practical training organised (finding practical training positions, guidelines for practical training, supervision, reporting, feedback, etc.)? What role does practical training have in achieving the objectives of the study programme? What is student feedback on the*

- *content and arrangement of practical training?*
- **Are students actively involved in research? How is student involvement in research set up?**
- *How is supervision of student research papers (seminar papers, projects, theses, etc.) organised?*
- **Do students' assessments correspond to the European Qualifications Framework (EQF)?**
- *How are the assessment methods chosen and to what extent do students get supportive feedback on their academic progress during their studies?*
- *How is the objectivity and relevance of student assessment ensured (assessment of the degree of achievement of the intended learning outcomes)?*

Findings

A short description of the situation in the Higher Education Institution (HEI), based on elements from the application for external evaluation and on findings from the onsite visit.

2.1

The fundamental orientation towards student-centeredness lies in the programme being offered in distance online mode, appropriate for students who are working, and who do not necessarily live within easy reach of the university. The programme as a whole caters well for distance students, though an earlier requirement to be present (face-to-face) for exams was problematic for those who live outside Cyprus. Student-centeredness is also evident in the detail about the course available on the University's website, which is at least as comprehensive as a prospective student would expect or need. Further commitment to student-centeredness is evident in the support offered to students who are not able to afford full fees, for whom bursaries are available. Support for students with learning disabilities is provided through the use of accessibility tools on Moodle etc. Students who encountered unplanned difficulties during their course of study reported a high level of support in all cases.

The program objectives and the intended learning outcomes are largely appropriate for distance students who are or aspire to be language teachers. There is a mis-match between the objectives and the ILOs however: while the purpose and objectives are oriented towards practice, the ILOs stress research. The explanation given by the programme team is appreciated (i.e. that the programme provides a theoretical basis for considering practice) but a closer alignment of stated aims with stated ILOs, in the course documentation is required.

The standards for 2.1 are largely attained. There is training, guidance and support provided to the students focusing on the specificities of e-learning, from the course team, module-by-module and in the induction week activities. The process of teaching and learning supports students' development, principally through the programme team's efforts to generate a sense of community across the cohort. Flexibility is evident in that there are different modes of e-learning across the programme, and use is made of a wide range of free and open-access e-learning platforms. The Language Centre enables access to e-learning environments including the Moodle, Google Drive and Google Classroom. Students use closed Facebook groups for social interaction, and are instructed to open Google accounts.

The Moodle interface could however receive a fresh look since the interface and navigation paradigm is outdated. But setting this aspect aside, there is inconsistency between the courses of the study program. Some courses use different typography, pictures and coloured text than others. This is suboptimal. Due to the character as a distance learning program, some more effort needs to be invested to ensure a positive experience for learners in the online environment, specifically Moodle as a central platform.

Students report feeling encouraged to be active learners, and to have developed a sense of autonomy.

There appears to be mutual respect within the learner-teacher relationship, evident in the evaluation team's interactions with the students and the programme team. Learning paths are flexible to the extent that students can follow the programme on a part-time basis. However, the eight taught courses are all obligatory, and there are no elective or optional courses.

We take the opportunity here to comment on the effectiveness and currency of the teaching methods, tools and material used in teaching with reference to the individual courses and the dissertation. In the meantime, course staff has provided an update about changes conducted in these courses, which have not yet been taken into account for the evaluation.

LCE510: The e-learning infrastructure for this course comprises a closed Facebook group (used for social/informal interaction between students), use of the moodle, etc. (Below we raise issues concerning the use of Facebook and some other platforms for this purpose.) Modes of teaching are likewise wide ranging and flexible, appropriate for an online course and for this subject area. The bibliography for the course is dated: Krashen is the first reference, and there is a paucity of more up-to-date perspectives on SLA. The evaluators are also concerned that while the SLA course focuses on theories of *learning*, there is little or no content relating to linguistics and theories of *language* in the programme.

LCE511: The methodology course complements the SLA course. It assumes that students have experience as language teachers, and have access to classrooms, though this is not a requirement of the programme. More might be done (for example in the Learning Outcomes for the course) to relate relevant teaching approaches to developments in CALL.

LCE512: this course on L2 Instructional technologies refers to the TESOL Technologies Standards for Teachers. While it is good to refer to external standards, the TESOL standards were published in 2008, and are possibly out of date.

LCE513: The curriculum development and evaluation course was very well received by students on the programme.

LCE514: There is a strong focus on action research on this course. Students are encouraged to do an AR project as their dissertation. The programme team do not see the open-ended nature of the AR enterprise as posing a problem in relation to the dissertation (which by definition is bounded). Achievement on the Research Methods course is assessed in part through submission of a dissertation proposal. It is not clear what the implications are, should a student fail the assessment for the module, for progression to the Dissertation.

LCE515: It is encouraging to see a module on assessment being core to the programme. This module also provides a good example of how coherence across the programme is ensured, with reference made to other modules and their inter-relationships.

LCE516: The technologies discussed here are not ‘emerging’ in many cases, but are by now quite established (for example the use of mobile and wireless technology in language learning processes). There is much that might be included in this module (AI, NLP in Language Learning).

LCE580, the dissertation, appears to have some overlap with LCE514 in its taught component. The team should ensure that the work on the dissertation complements and does not replicate that done on the RMs course.

2.2: In discussion with program staff, it appeared that they had a well-articulated vision of the relationship between theory and practice. However it would be advisable to make this vision clearer in the statement of the program's purpose and objectives, where the interrelationship between research and professional practice could be more clearly stated.

It should be noted that many of the students on the program are practising teachers, so may be able to put into practice what they are learning in their own classrooms. However not all students on the program are practicing teachers. It appears that practical training in the form of online synchronous micro teaching is included in LCE513. There also appears to be some opportunity for students to engage in voluntary work or internships. It was not clear how systematic this is however. At the moment, given the small number of students on the program, individual solutions can be easily tailored for particular students and indeed program staff do this. However if the anticipated increase in students eventuates, something more structured will be required for students without current teaching. It would be advantageous for students who don't have current or prior teaching experience to undertake such experience as part of the program.

2.3 Student assessment: As detailed in the study guides provided for each module of the MA CALL, a varied range of assessments are used including weekly activities/tasks, long essays and unseen examinations with different degree of contribution to the total mark for the module. The methods of assessment lead to the achievement of the programme aims and objectives and are compatible with Master-level requirements. Students are informed at the beginning of each academic period of all the details of the assessment requirements for each module they are enrolled in. The types of assessment used are compatible with distance learning and are managed (submitted, marked, feedback given etc.) through the Moodle platform. Self-assessment exercises are also used and allow students to self-monitor their progress throughout their study in each module. In addition, in some courses, peer-assessment is implemented. The MA CALL uses the Turnitin platform for plagiarism detection and applies a University-set procedure for handling cases of plagiarism as academic misconduct and enforcing the corresponding penalties to students. Students are well informed about plagiarism, and other cases of academic regulations breach, and are properly trained to avoid it. In order for students to complete the MA CALL they are obliged to write an MA thesis on topics that are relevant to the modules of the programme. We were not able to see any samples of students' assessed work so as to evaluate marking criteria, application and feedback.

2.4 Study guides structure, content and interactive activities: The study guides are very detailed and provide not only details about learning objectives, learning activities, assessment and information about tools used but a repetition of the full content available in the Moodle environment. This leads to a length of 80 - 140 pages for each study guide. It is not clear why the content is repeated in the study guide. On the one hand, in this way a study guide can be used as a “reading version” of a course, but on the other hand, this could potentially lead students away from the course environment which also serves as a central place for social interaction. In addition, the design of the study guides could be a little more attractive. During the course of study students get acquainted with a variety of technologies which are also relevant for language learning and teaching. This is a good approach to integrate technology not only as subject but also as tools into the curriculum. On the other hand, the

team should ensure that GDPR of learners is respected and that no student is required to use tools which might be problematic with regard to GDPR (e.g. Facebook or Google Classroom).

Strengths

A list of strengths, e.g. examples of good practices, achievements, innovative solutions etc. 2.1

- The programme is coherent, with a clear and stated inter-relationship between modules on the programme.
- There is a strong commitment to student-centered online learning and to student support. - The programme is firmly oriented towards practice, as appropriate for the target student body.

2.2

- Staff on the program have a well-articulated and distinctive conceptualization of the dynamic nature of the theory/practice relationship, which informs their teaching. Students we spoke to unanimously expressed their appreciation of this. .
- At the moment the dedicated program staff pay a lot of attention to meeting the student needs with regard to practical experience on an individual basis.

2.3

- The MA CALL uses a variety of assessment methods that are compatible with Master's level and distance-learning.

Areas of improvement and recommendations

A list of problem areas to be dealt with, followed by or linked to the recommendations of how to improve the situation.

2.1

- a) Recommendation:** The programme team should revisit the programme ILOs to ensure they orient more closely to programme's stated aims and purposes.

Response/Comments:

The programme aims to meet the needs of the local community and language teachers worldwide.

The ILOs have been revised according to the EEC's' suggestions as follows:

By the end of the programme, students will be able to:

1. identify, discuss and apply learning theories, pedagogical methods and technological applications in Second (L2) or Foreign Language (FL) teaching
2. acquire and employ specialised knowledge, skills and experiences in CALL and in Autonomous and Lifelong Learning
3. produce, critically evaluate, and revise curricula, materials, tasks, lesson plans, tests and technology-mediated activities aligned with contemporary learning theories and methods in language teaching

4. articulate, present results and report on research in a scientific manner.

- There is a notable shortage of linguistics in the curriculum.

Response/Comments: See comment below.

b) Recommendation: The programme team can consider adding a module or at least including substantial content within a current module on theories of language for CALL (or TELL). These might include consideration of the interactional characteristics of CMC, multimodality, insights gained from corpus linguistics and recent developments in the understanding of translanguaging.

Response/Comments:

This point has been carefully taken into consideration and, therefore, linguistics has been enhanced in the curriculum of LCE 510 Second Language Acquisition. Substantial content, bibliography and activities have been included on general linguistics and theories of CALL. The content includes interactional environments in computer-mediated language learning, the relationship between second language acquisition and computer-assisted language learning, insights from corpus linguistics and multimodal corpora, multilingualism, transdisciplinarity, translanguaging and minority languages, and ecological linguistics.

c) Recommendation: The stated learning outcomes for each module should be revisited and if necessary revised to ensure they better reflect the content of the courses.

Response/Comments:

The learning outcomes for the following modules have been revised to ensure they reflect the content of the courses more effectively:

LCE 510:

Previous learning outcomes:

By the end of this module, students are expected to be able to:

1. demonstrate an understanding of SLA in the digital era.
2. demonstrate an understanding of how the field has changed since the integration of technology in the language classroom.
3. identify the changes in SLA in the 21st century classroom

Revised learning outcomes:

By the end of this module, students are expected to be able to:

1. demonstrate an understanding of SLA and basic concepts in general and applied linguistics.
2. demonstrate an understanding of how the field has changed since the integration of technology in the language classroom
3. demonstrate an understanding of current theories of CALL and TELL and how these apply in SLA contexts.
4. Identify the changes in SLA in the 21st century classroom.

LCE 511:

Previous learning outcomes:

It is expected that by the end of the course, students will have a solid grounding in language teaching methodologies that will enable them to identify the differences between the various teaching approaches and methods, to critically evaluate these, and develop and evaluate lesson plans and online activities, which could be used in their own educational settings.

By the end of this module, students are expected to be able to:

1. reflect on their own experiences and how they can use technology in their own settings;
2. recognise and distinguish major approaches and methods in language teaching and how these are implemented in the classroom;
3. identify and use various technology tools in teaching the language skills (speaking, listening, reading, pronunciation, grammar);
4. create and evaluate materials and lesson plans using technologies;
5. show awareness of how learner autonomy can be promoted through the use of technology and how to develop professionally.

Revised learning outcomes:

It is expected that by the end of the course, students will have a solid grounding in language teaching methodologies that will enable them to identify the differences between the various teaching approaches and methods, to critically evaluate these, and develop and evaluate lesson plans and activities, through the pedagogical use of technology.

By the end of this module, students are expected to be able to:

1. recognise and distinguish major approaches and methods in language teaching and how these are implemented in the classroom;
2. identify and use pedagogically various technological tools in teaching the language skills (speaking, listening, reading, writing, vocabulary, grammar);
3. create and evaluate materials and lesson plans using technologies;
4. show awareness of how learner autonomy can be promoted through the use of technology and how to pursue continuous lifelong learning professional development.

LCE 514:

Previous learning outcomes

By the end of this module, students are expected to be able to:

1. identify the concept of research and the research process in Applied Linguistics.
2. establish the main steps taken before a research study is conducted.
3. establish the nature and purpose of referencing.

Revised learning outcomes:

By the end of this module, students are expected to be able to:

1. identify the concept of research and the research process in Applied Linguistics.
2. establish the main steps taken before a research study is conducted.
3. design and implement a small-scale research study.
4. disseminate the findings of a research study.

LCE 517:

Previous learning outcomes:

It is expected that by the end of the course, students will have achieved a twofold goal: they will have experienced language teaching from the students' perspective, as well as from the teacher's perspective by experiencing synchronous and asynchronous online language and academic lessons. By the end of this course, students should:

1. understand certain issues (e.g., giving instructions, preparing presentations/handouts, writing online reports) concerned with the teaching of languages and how technology can be incorporated;
2. carry out academic work, including researching, article writing, referencing, reviewing articles, writing academic articles etc.;
3. reflect on their experiences both as learners and teachers and build on these to improve their learning and teaching practices, as well as engage in lifelong learning.

Revised learning outcomes:

It is expected that by the end of the course, students will have achieved a twofold goal: they will have experienced language teaching from the students' perspective, as well as from the teacher's perspective by experiencing synchronous and asynchronous online language and academic lessons. By the end of this course, students should:

1. evaluate and develop e-material for language lessons;
2. understand certain issues (e.g., giving instructions, preparing presentations/handouts) concerned with the teaching of languages and how technology can be pedagogically incorporated;
3. engage in microteaching implementing the e-material they have evaluated and/or developed, by using appropriate language teaching methodologies, through the use of technologies;
4. reflect on their experiences both as learners and teachers and build on these to improve their learning and teaching practices, as well as engage in lifelong learning.

d) Recommendation: LCE510 should include (in the content and course reading) more up-to-date understandings of SLA, e.g. the work of L. Ortega; Mitchell, Myles & Marsden's recent (4th) edition of their *Second Language Learning Theories*; or the DFG's influential call for a transdisciplinary SLA appropriate for a multilingual world (the Douglas Fir Group, 2016).

Response/Comments:

The course content and related course reading have been updated and now include content on more up-to-date understandings of SLA and the works of:

- Abuczki, Á., & Ghazaleh, E. B. (2013). An overview of multimodal corpora, annotation tools and schemes. *Argumentum*, 9, 86-98.
- Börekci, R. (2018). Facebook as an Interactional Environment in the Foreign Language Learning Context. *Language and Technology*, 1(1), 11-15.
- Buendgens-Kosten, J. (2020). The monolingual problem of computer-assisted language learning. *ReCALL*, 32(3), 307-322.
- Cenoz, J., & Gorter, D. (2019). Multilingualism, translanguaging, and minority languages in SLA. *The Modern Language Journal*, 103, 130-135.

- Chapelle, C. (2009). The relationship between second language acquisition theory and computer-assisted language learning. *The Modern Language Journal*, 93 (Focus Issue), 741-753.
- Cheon, H. (2008). Sociocultural theory and computer-mediated communication-based language learning. *Studies in Applied Linguistics and TESOL*, 8(1).
- Chun, D. M. (2016). The role of technology in SLA research. *Language Learning & Technology*, 20(2), 98-115.
- Douglas Fir Group. (2016). A transdisciplinary framework for SLA in a multilingual world. *The Modern Language Journal*, 100(S1), 19-47.
- DuBravac, S. (2013). *Technology in the L2 curriculum*. Boston: Pearson. (Chapter 4)
- Kelly-Holmes, H. (2019). Multilingualism and technology: A review of developments in digital communication from monolingualism to idiolingualism. *Annual Review of Applied Linguistics*, 39, 24-39.
- Kramsch, C. (Ed.). (2002). *Language acquisition and language socialization: Ecological perspectives*. London: Continuum.
- Lantolf, J. P., Thorne, S. L., & Poehner, M. E. (2015). Sociocultural theory and second language development. *Theories in second language acquisition: An introduction*, 1, 207-226.
- Lee, M. S. (2015). Implementing the sociocultural theory while teaching ESL. *SPACE: Student Perspectives About Civic Engagement*, 1(1), 6.
- Lew, A. W. M. (2011). Aligning CALL with the Theory and Practice of Instructed SLA. *Studies in Applied Linguistics and TESOL*, 11(2).
- Luo, W., & Li, S. (2016, March). Exploring the Effectiveness of Augmented Reality Technology in Improving Kindergarten ESOL Students' Phonological Awareness. In *Society for Information Technology & Teacher Education International Conference* (pp. 1462-1464). Association for the Advancement of Computing in Education (AACE).
- Mitchell, M., Myles, F., & Marsden, E. (2013). Sociocultural perspectives on second language learning. In *Second Language Learning Theories* (pp. 220-246).
- Mitchell, R., Myles, F., & Marsden, E. (2019). *Second language learning theories*. Routledge.
- Mohammadzadeh, A., Ahour, T., & Saeidi, M. (2020). A Sociocultural Perspective on Second Language Writing: The Effect of Symmetrical versus Asymmetrical Scaffolding on Intermediate EFL Learners' Writing Accuracy, Fluency, and Complexity and Their Attitudes. *Education Research International*, 2020.
- Muller-Hartmann, A., & Schocker-v. Ditfurth, M. (2010). Research in the use of technology in task-based language teaching. In M. Thomas & H. Reinders (Eds.), *Task-based language learning and teaching with technology* (pp. 17-40). London/New York: Continuum.
- Ortega, L. (2009). *Understanding Second Language Acquisition (USLA)*. London: Hodder.
- Ortega, L. (2017). New CALL-SLA research interfaces for the 21st century: Towards equitable multilingualism. *calico journal*, 34(3), 283-316.
- Saville-Troike, M., & Barto, K. (2017). *Introducing second language acquisition*. Cambridge University Press.
- Steffensen, S. V., & Kramsch, C. (2017). The ecology of second language acquisition and socialization. *Language socialization*, 17-32.
- Stockwell, G. (2014). Exploring theory in computer-assisted language learning. In *Alternative pedagogies in the English language & communication classroom: Selected papers from the Fourth CELC Symposium for English Language Teachers* (pp. 25-30).
- Thorne, S., & Smith, B. (2011). Second language development theories and technology-mediated language learning. *CALICO Journal*, 28 (2), 268-277.
- van Lier, L. (2006). *The ecology and semiotics of language learning: A sociocultural perspective* (Vol. 3). Springer Science & Business Media.
- Van Lier, L. (2010). The ecology of language learning: Practice to theory, theory to practice. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 3, 2-6.
- Wang, S., & Vasquez, C. (2012). Web 2.0 and second language learning: What does the research tell us? *CALICO Journal*, 29 (3), 412- 430.

- e) **Recommendation:** LCE516 should be updated to include reference to recent developments in technologies which are relevant to language learning, e.g., AI and Natural Language Processing.

Response/Comments:

This module has been updated following the EEC's comments. More specifically, it has included references to recent developments in technologies which are relevant to language learning, e.g., AI and Natural Language Processing as well as their implications for language teaching and learning.

The module will continuously take into account key trends and emerging technologies and their implications for language learning, in order to inform our students on recent application of technologies in language learning and teaching.

- f) **Recommendation:** LCE517 should be revised to ensure its relevance to the students, or perhaps be replaced by a module with more practical relevance (e.g. one on materials design and evaluation) or one with a linguistic focus.

Response/Comments:

This module has been redesigned focusing on the use of technology in materials evaluation and development, with special focus on e-materials, as suggested by the EEC. The specific module is divided into three parts. The first part provides more in-depth theoretical knowledge related to materials evaluation and development (as continuation of parts of the LCE 515 module). Moreover, they will be introduced to topics such as writing and giving clear instructions for language classroom purposes, as well as technologies they can use to create technology mediated materials. In the second component of the course, learners will experience online Language for General Purposes classes, taking the role of students, and will be asked to evaluate the methodologies and e-materials used. In the third part of the module learners will have the opportunity to prepare short online language lessons and carry them out as part of their micro-teaching practicum. They will have to develop materials and evaluate them based on the input in the two previous parts of the module. The language instructor (MA student) will be observed by the module instructor as well as the other learners who will act as language students and they will provide feedback to the former. The lessons use and recommend a variety of technology tools that can be used for pedagogical purposes.

The suggestion on linguistic focus has also been taken closely into consideration and was further enhanced in LCE 510.

2.2

- a) **Recommendation:** The programme's vision of the theory practice relationship should be more dynamically expressed in the program's purpose and objectives and in other relevant documentation.

Response/Comments:

Previous description of purpose and objectives

The programme aims to meet the needs of the local community and language teachers worldwide. It aims to develop the knowledge and skills needed today for professional careers in teaching second / foreign language with the use of new technologies at all levels

of education (pre-primary, primary, secondary, tertiary). It focuses on learning theories, pedagogical methods and technological applications in language teaching. It is consistent with contemporary learning theories and methods in language teaching and deals with the theories and methods of applying new technologies in teaching and learning languages taught as a second (L2) or as a Foreign Language (FL). Although not limited to the teaching of English as a second / foreign language, the objectives of the proposed Master's programme are consistent with the technological standards for the teaching of English as a Second Language (Teaching English as a Second Language Technology Standards Framework, 2008). Upon completion of the programme, it is expected that students will have acquired specialised knowledge, skills and experiences in Computer Assisted Language Learning and in Autonomous and Lifelong Learning.

Revised description of purpose and objectives

The programme aims to develop the knowledge and teaching skills of people who want to work or are working in the profession of language education at all levels (pre-primary, primary, secondary, tertiary), both locally and worldwide, and to meet their needs, by combining theory with practice and by co-constructing and connecting with knowledge. In particular, it focuses on learning theories, pedagogical methods and technological application in language teaching. Participants are provided with an opportunity to explore and discuss contemporary learning theories and methods in language teaching, as well as theories on applying new technologies in teaching and learning languages taught as a second (L2) or as a Foreign Language (FL). Simultaneously, they are provided with opportunities to put the above theories into practice, through collaborative and interactive activities, as well as planning and carrying out research in real life contexts. An important aspect of the programme is micro-teaching (in LCE 515 and LCE 517), which provides opportunities to students to apply what they have learnt in a virtual environment, to reflect on their teaching, to give and receive feedback.

Upon completion of the programme, it is expected that students will have acquired specialised knowledge, skills and experiences in Technology Enhanced Language Learning and in Autonomous and Lifelong Learning

b) Recommendation: The program should investigate setting up more formal links with local employers and indeed, given the on-line nature of the expertise, potentially employers further afield, in order to set up systematic opportunities for pre-experience students to gain experience (cf Recommendation in 1.1)

Response/Comments:

A consultation committee drawn from local stakeholders has been formed.

We have contacted the following local stakeholders to join a local consulting committee for the MA in CALL. In particular, these people hold prominent positions, through which they convey information about the needs of language teachers in the workplace, both in the public and private sector, and can disseminate information regarding the specific MA. These local stakeholders have accepted our invitation and are as follows:

- Dr Maria Diakou, CyTEA (Cyprus Teachers of English Association) President (<https://www.ip-exhibitions.eu/associations/cytea/>). (CyTEA) is an association in the field of English Language Learning and Teaching. The association is open to all English language teachers, or other foreign language teachers, regardless of what educational level they work at or whether they work in the private or state sector.

- Ms Maria Iacovidou, English Language Inspector, Ministry of Education and Culture, Sports and Youth of Cyprus, Secondary school inspector (<http://www.moec.gov.cy/en/>)
- Dr Christina Papasolomontos, Head of the Department of Educational Documentation, Cyprus Pedagogical Institute, Ministry of Education and Culture, Sports and Youth of Cyprus (<https://www.pi.ac.cy/pi/index.php?lang=en>)

c) Recommendation: Micro-teaching opportunities on the program are at the moment quite restricted and should be expanded. Even experienced practising teachers who can try things out in their classes would benefit from the opportunity to try new approaches and skills in a safe environment.

Response/Comments:

Micro-teaching is already included in LCE 515 and has also been incorporated in LCE 517. In LCE 515 in Week 10, students are engaged in Lesson Planning, based on the knowledge acquired from the previous weeks and in Weeks 11 and 12 they are requested to engage in online microteaching, peer observation, peer feedback and self-evaluation.

After the reviewers' recommendations, microteaching was also incorporated in LCE 517. In the last part of the module, learners will be asked to put current language teaching methodologies into practice through online microteaching. In this case, they will be asked to implement the e-material they evaluate and develop, through the use of technologies. The language instructor (MA student) will be observed by the module instructor as well as the other learners who will act as language students and they will provide feedback to the former.

d) Recommendation: To prepare for an increase in numbers, opportunities should be in place more systematically to give students without experience the opportunity for an internship or work placement. At the moment this seems to occur on a case by case basis This is an excellent opportunity to develop links with local stakeholder employers.

Response/Comments:

For applicants who are not practising teachers, the following options are in place:

- the instructors/supervisors on the programme give students access to the University's language classrooms for teaching and/or research purposes
OR
- the instructors/supervisors of the programme make provisions for short-term access to private language institutes.

These are indeed excellent opportunities for developing links with local stakeholder employers and for students to acquire teaching experience. The consultation committee drawn from local stakeholders (cf Recommendation 2.2.) is also essential in strengthening the teaching and learning with potential employers.

2.4

- Improvement to the Moodle are important. This could have two dimensions: 1.) On the one hand, the interface of Moodle could be improved to hide for example non important information and 2.) on the other hand, inconsistencies between the design of the courses should be avoided.

- a) **Recommendation:** The Moodle should be updated to make it more accessible and user-friendly. Currently, some courses use different typography, size of graphics, coloring of text etc. than others.

Response/Comments:

Indeed the template used in Moodle is dated but it is based on the latest technologies and standards and our users are familiar with using it. In the future, the IT services will proceed with a redesign of the template, but currently they aim for a UI that users are familiar with and do not need much training, especially in these times of uncertainty. Furthermore, the IT services are expecting the release of Moodle 4.0 which introduces major changes in the UI and this will also guide the redesign of the theme.

On the other hand, inconsistencies between the design of the courses have been adjusted. The graphic design has been aligned for all courses (including text and visual elements).

- b) **Recommendation:** A clear style guide for the study program would improve this.

Response/Comments:

This point has been taken into consideration and a clear style guide for the study programme has taken effect. All instructors have worked on this and are making adjustments as they progress in the course.

- c) **Recommendation:** The Study Guides should be re-organized so as not to replicate course content.

Response/Comments:

The Study Guides are re-organized so as not to replicate the course content. The study Guides are available below:

[LCE510](http://surl.li/arkfi) - Second Language Acquisition (SLA) (<http://surl.li/arkfi>)

[LCE511](http://surl.li/arkqj) - Language Teaching Methodologies (LTM) (<http://surl.li/arkqj>)

[LCE512](http://surl.li/arkgr) - Second Language Instructional Technology (SLiT) (<http://surl.li/arkgr>)

[LCE513](http://surl.li/arkqx) - Computer Assisted Language Assessment & Testing (CALAT) (<http://surl.li/arkqx>)

[LCE514](http://surl.li/arkhf) - Research Methodologies in Applied Linguistics (RMAL) (<http://surl.li/arkhf>)

[LCE 515](http://surl.li/arkho) - Second Language Curriculum Development and Evaluation (L2CDE)
(<http://surl.li/arkho>)

[LCE516](http://surl.li/arkib) - Emerging Technologies in Language Learning (ETLL) (<http://surl.li/arkib>)

[LCE517](http://surl.li/arkie) - CALL from the student perspective (CALLSP) (<http://surl.li/arkie>)

[LCE 580](http://surl.li/arkig) - MA Dissertation (<http://surl.li/arkig>)

d) **Recommendation:** GDPR compliance of platforms such as Facebook and Google Classrooms should be carefully checked to minimize risk to students.

Response/Comments:

Upon recommendation of the evaluators, the private facebook groups for the programme's modules have been replaced by Moodle's social forum. Moodle's forum enables students' and teachers can exchange ideas by posting comments. There are four basic forum types. Forum posts can be graded by the teacher or other students. A forum can contribute significantly to successful communication and community building in an online environment. Moreover, we still use Google Workspace for Education, since we have an institutional account and each student is given his/her own account @lccut.com, which he/she uses for the purposes of the MA programme. The institutional account of Google Workspace for Education allows both the instructors and the students to operate (generate and store material, communicate and collaborate both synchronously and asynchronously) within a secure virtual educational environment. This eliminates any potential GDPR issues.

Please select what is appropriate for each of the following sub-areas:

Sub-area		<i>Non-compliant/ Partially Compliant/Compliant</i>
2.1	Process of teaching and learning and student-centred teaching methodology	Partially compliant
2.2	Practical training	Partially compliant
2.3	Student assessment	Partially compliant
2.4	Study guides structure, content and interactive activities	Partially Compliant

3. Teaching staff (ESG 1.5)

Sub-areas

3.1 Teaching staff recruitment and development

3.2 Teaching staff number and status

3.3 Synergies of teaching and research

3.1 Teaching staff recruitment and development

Standards

- *Institutions ensure the competence of their teaching staff.*
- *Fair, transparent and clear processes for the recruitment and development of the teaching staff are set up.*
- *Teaching staff qualifications are adequate to achieve the objectives and planned learning outcomes of the study programme, and to ensure quality and sustainability of the teaching and learning.*
- *The teaching staff is regularly engaged in professional and teaching-skills training and development.*
- *Training, guidance and support are provided to the teaching staff focusing on interaction and the specificities of e-learning.*
- *Promotion of the teaching staff takes into account the quality of their teaching, their research activity, the development of their teaching skills and their mobility.*
- *Innovation in teaching methods and the use of new technologies is encouraged.*
- *Conditions of employment that recognise the importance of teaching are followed.*
- *Recognised visiting teaching staff participates in teaching the study programme.*

3.2 Teaching staff number and status

Standards

- *The number of the teaching staff is adequate to support the programme of study.*
- *The teaching staff status (rank, full/part time) is appropriate to offer a quality programme of study.*
- *Visiting staff number does not exceed the number of the permanent staff.*

3.3 Synergies of teaching and research

Standards

- *The teaching staff collaborate in the fields of teaching and research within the HEI and with partners outside (practitioners in their fields, employers, and staff members at other HEIs in Cyprus or abroad).*
- *Scholarly activity to strengthen the link between education and research is encouraged.*
- *The teaching staff publications are within the discipline.*
- *Teaching staff studies and publications are closely related to the programme's courses.*
- *The allocation of teaching hours compared to the time for research activity is appropriate.*

You may also consider the following questions:

- *Is the teaching staff qualified to teach in the e-learning programme of study? ● How are the members of the teaching staff supported with regard to the development of their teaching skills? How is feedback given to members of the teaching staff regarding their teaching results and teaching skills?*
- *How is the teaching performance assessed? How does their teaching performance affect their remuneration, evaluation and/or selection?*
- *Is teaching connected with research?*
- *Does the HEI involve visiting teaching staff from other HEIs in Cyprus and abroad? ● What is the number, workload, qualifications and status of the teaching staff (rank, full/part timers)?*
- *Is student evaluation conducted on the teaching staff? If yes, have the results of student feedback been analysed and taken into account, and how (e.g., when planning in-service training for the teaching staff)?*

Findings

A short description of the situation in the Higher Education Institution (HEI), based on elements from the application for external evaluation and on findings from the onsite visit.

3.1 The programme was designed, and is led and taught, by staff located in the Language Centre, which is not an academic department. Hence the academic team are institutionally classed as special teaching staff rather than from the academic ranks. Their primary role in the eyes of the University is to teach. The majority are permanent members of staff however, have PhDs and carry out research. They also contribute to the University's Learning Network, a cross-University unit mainly staffed by members of the Language Centre. Recent recruitment onto the programme team has been from within: Anastasia Peck who was already a member of staff in the Language Centre

before being recruited. Nonetheless we are assured that all staff recruitment is carried out according to regulations of the CYQAA.

CVs were provided for the evaluation for Parmaxi, Giannikas, Athanasiou and Papadima, not entirely matching the current staff list (as per the website: Papadima, Mouskou-Peck, Athanasiou, Parmaxi, Nicolaou, Kakoulli, Papa). In every case though, the staff are well-qualified, with appropriate experience for teaching the courses that they lead and contribute to. The programme team are well-networked (e.g. through membership of EuroCALL, IATEFL), and carry out research in areas that are relevant for the programme.

3.2 Teaching Staff numbers and status. The MA CALL is staffed by six individuals and is monitored by a three-member advisory committee. All members of staff are employed full-time. They are experienced professionals in TESOL/TEFL and/or technology enhanced language learning (cf. CALL) with a range of teaching and research experience. Almost all members of staff hold doctorate degrees achieved in either Cyprus or the UK. However, only one member of staff has a university professor rank (Assistant Professor) while the rest of the staff are members of the so-called Special Teaching Staff. Special Teaching Staff members are employed full-time but do not have the right to get promoted to an academic rank.

The Table below presents current MA CALL teaching staff, their status and their respective areas of specialisation.

Staff Member	Rank	Area of specialisation
Ms. Anastasia Mouskou-Peck (MA holder)	Assistant Professor (FT), @ LC & MA CALL Coordinator	TEFL/TESOL
Ms. Androulla Athanasiou (PhD, Warwick)	Special Teaching Staff (FT), @ LC & Acting Director of LC	TEFL/TESOL
Ms. Antigoni Parmaxi (Doctorate, CUT)	Special Teaching Staff (FT), @ LC	CALL/TELL
Ms. Anna Nicolaou (PhD, TCD, Dublin)	Special Teaching Staff (FT), @ LC	CALL/TELL
Ms. Elis Kakoulli Constantinou (Doctorate, CUT)	Special Teaching Staff (FT), @ LC	TEFL/TESOL/ESP/ CALL

Ms. Elena Papa (MBA, Leicester)	Special Teaching Staff (FT), @ LC	Computing
---------------------------------	-----------------------------------	-----------

Ms. Salomi Papadima-Sophocleous (PhD, Middlesex) with specialisation in TEFL/TESOL/CALL, an Assistant Professor @ LC, who was both the co-ordinator of the MACALL and Director of the LC till June 2021 and has recently retired, was present during the audit and contributed to the discussion.

MACALL is supervised by a three-member Advisory Committee, chaired by the Graduate Programme Coordinator. This Committee has an advisory role in the organization and implementation role of the programme and contributes to preserving its academic status within the university.

Members of teaching staff are regularly evaluated by students through online questionnaires where their teaching strengths and weaknesses are anonymously put forward by students. Staff have the opportunity to attend development seminars, organised centrally by TUC, for further expanding and enriching their teaching skills.

3.3 In our view, the synergies between teaching and research are one the strengths of the programme. The staff are well-qualified in relevant fields and also well networked in international CALL networks. They publish strategically in relevant journals and are involved in a number of international research initiatives and relevant professional organizations. However, we found that the dynamic relationship between teaching and research was better articulated in conversation with program staff than in the actual course documentation. It would therefore be useful for key aspects of the programme documentation and publicity material to be revised in order better to express this dynamic relationship which seems to be very much in evidence in the programme itself and is clearly appreciated by the students we spoke to. We also found, as suggested above, that there is room for programme staff to develop these research networks for monitoring ongoing initiatives in cutting edge teaching in this rapidly emergent area and thus benchmarking the program with international comparators. Given that the staff of the Language Centre are not located in an academic department, the committee notes university initiatives to support their research, but suggests that continuing efforts should be made to ensure that they are not disadvantaged in research opportunities in relation to colleagues within departments.

Strengths

A list of strengths, e.g. examples of good practices, achievements, innovative solutions etc. 3.1

The staff on the programme team are all well-qualified to contribute to the programme, with appropriate qualifications, experience and research interests.

3.2

MA CALL is staffed by a dynamic team of TEFL/TESOL/CALL professionals with appropriate academic qualifications and with teaching and research experience in the field.

3.3

Given the constraints of their institutional location outside a university department, the program staff show an admirable level of engagement in research, both in terms of participation in research networks, conferences, publications and professional associations. The program is still

establishing its international reputation and it is essential that this engagement continues and is supported by the university in an appropriate way.

Areas of improvement and recommendations

A list of problem areas to be dealt with, followed by or linked to the recommendations of how to improve the situation.

3.2

- a) Recommendation:** The MA CALL, as a postgraduate programme, should be staffed by university professors either by recruiting new members of staff or by allowing members of the existing team to get promoted or transferred to university professor status.

Response/Comments:

We satisfy all criteria of the Cyprus Agency of Quality Assurance and Accreditation in Higher Education (CYQAA). More specifically, everyone involved in this programme is permanent teaching staff, with a PhD. Similar structures are followed in other public Universities in Cyprus (e.g., OpenUniCY). The coordinator of the programme (Anastasia Mouskou Peck) holds a university professor status.

- b) Recommendation:** The Language Centre, the unit that actually has designed and operates the programme needs to progress to becoming an academic department that would allow it to offer academic programmes leading to university undergraduate, postgraduate and doctoral degrees in its own right. This should be done in accordance with the provisions of university regulations and Cyprus legislation.

Response/Comments:

As mentioned during the evaluation process (21st July 2021), the institutionalisation of the Language Centre is ongoing. Regulations have been set and these are being discussed within the internal entities of the University.

3.3

- a) Recommendation:** Ongoing attention should be given to comparability of research support afforded to Language Centre based staff in relation to their Departmental colleagues. This is not just a question of equity but also of establishing the international reputation of the programme.

Response/Comments:

The EEC did not fully understand the ranks at the University. The academic staff of the Language Centre has equal rights and research support in relation to their Departmental colleagues. Substantial improvement has been made regarding the distribution of research funds, and the University is about to approve a new category of research programme for multidisciplinary research to be initiated at the University.

Indeed, the synergy between teaching and research is one of the strengths of the programme. The staff are well-qualified in relevant fields and also well networked in international CALL networks ((see current Research Activity in Recommendation 3.3 (c)).

- b) Recommendation:** It would therefore be useful for key aspects of the programme documentation to be revised in order better to express this dynamic relationship which seems to be very much in evidence in the programme itself.

Response/Comments:

Key aspects of the programme documentation (e.g. programme website, social media, brochures, study guides, course outlines, etc.) have been revised in order to reflect the vision of the programme as this was refined according to the recommendations of the evaluation committee. Moreover, the dynamic relationship between teaching and research is also now reflected in the course documentation.

- c) Recommendation:** Greater emphasis should be placed on linking the research projects which staff are engaged into the teaching programme.

Response/Comments:

Currently MA in CALL staff is involved in the following funded research projects, which inform the teaching of the programme's modules:

- Digital Competences for Language Teachers (DC4LT) (<https://www.dc4lt.eu/>). The project aims to build resources for language teachers on using ICT, we combine expertise on language learning, Computer Assisted Language Learning (CALL), digital Language Curriculum and Materials Development and Evaluation, blended and online teaching, and technology enhanced learning (TEL). The latest developments in TEL explored in this project are reflected in the course modules' activities and tasks. In addition, In the context of this project, webinar series are designed and offered by MA in CALL staff and attended by MA in CALL students. Publications that resulted from this project have been given to the students as recommended readings.
- Doctoral Education for Technology Enhanced Learners (DETEL) (<https://ea-tel.eu/de-tel>). Doctoral education in TEL aims to develop expertise in doctoral candidates, provide knowledge from multiple relevant perspectives and allows taking empirically-based decisions in implementing TEL solutions in practice. The goal of DE-TEL is to bring doctoral education in TEL to a new level with high-quality resources and a new internationally designed program to support better curricular integration and avoid fragmentation of the digitalisation agenda in Europe. In the context of this project, MA in CALL faculty design and implement webinars addressed to doctorate and/or postgraduate students who are interested in getting enrolled in a PhD program. MA in CALL students have attended these webinars, gaining experience in the areas of Technology-enhanced learning and research methods. In addition, the open educational resources developed in this project have been made available to MA in CALL students.
- Virtual Innovation and Support Networks (VALIANT) <https://valiantproject.eu/>. Virtual Innovation and Support Networks can be defined as virtual communities of practice which enable international collaboration, training and support opportunities for teachers and student teachers. In the context of this project, virtual exchanges have been established and MA in CALL students and alumni have been invited to be involved in the virtual exchanges, enhancing thus their international experiences and intercultural collaboration.
- OpenLang (<https://www.openlangnet.eu/>): This project aims specifically at developing 'The OPENLang Network', which envisages to connect all the Erasmus+ KA1 Mobility Participants (HE students and staff, VET (at least 1 month mobility), Adult and School education staff, Youth learners, Youth Workers, Youth Entrepreneurs) in an OPEN informal and highly interactive online environment which could support more efficiently their effort to develop their language skills of the target mobility EU languages. In parallel, it will support their cultural understanding and integration in the host EU country as well as their success in their specific subject field of study and/or work. The latest developments in the

development of online environments for supporting language skills and intercultural competences explored in this project are reflected in the course modules' activities and tasks. In addition, in the context of this project, a MOOC is being designed and offered by MA in CALL staff and attended by MA in CALL students.

- LITHME (<https://lithme.eu/>): LITHME is a COST Action network with members from every EU member state, plus a number of other countries outside the EU. It aims to prepare linguistics and its subdisciplines for what is coming; and facilitate longer term dialogue between linguists and technology developers. In the context of this project, a forecast report titled 'Dawn of the Human-Machine Era' has been developed. The report has been disseminated to the MA students as it describes the current state and probable future trajectories of various language technologies – for written, spoken, haptic and signed modalities of language. Moreover, MA students were invited to attend the Action's Working Groups and Conferences.
- EUCOST Action "European Network on International Student Mobility: Connecting Research and Practice" (ENIS) CA20115 (<https://www.cost.eu/actions/CA20115/>) This Action responds to the pressing need for systematic interdisciplinary and international exchange of knowledge on theoretical frameworks, research methodologies, findings, and best practice examples, and for translating scientific findings into recommendations for ISM practice. MA in CALL faculty are involved in the areas of social and cultural integration of international students in their host countries and how to effectively connect research and practice.
- EUt+ (The European University of Technology) <https://www.univ-tech.eu/>. Task 3.4 (ELaRA): ELaRA (European Laboratory for Pedagogical Action Research and Student-Centred Learning) is organised around a number of research themes: (1) innovative student-centred pedagogies to promote self-directed, lifelong learning in technology education that develop the learner as a person by promoting intellectual development, creativity, problem solving and cultural awareness, (2) change in higher education, changing epistemologies and teaching practice, teacher development and methods to facilitate change, (3) educating students about the role of technology in society including ethics and professional development and (4) broadening participation in technology education by increasing female participation and recruiting from a broader spectrum of society including refugees. These actions involve opportunities for research and mobility for both faculty and students of the MA in CALL programme.
- Research that has been published by the MA in CALL faculty is incorporated within the course material, allowing for stronger synergies between research and teaching.

Please select what is appropriate for each of the following sub-areas:

Sub-area		<i>Non-compliant/ Partially Compliant/Compliant</i>
3.1	Teaching staff recruitment and development	Compliant
3.2	Teaching staff number and status	Partially compliant
3.3	Synergies of teaching and research	Compliant

4 Student admission, progression, recognition and certification (ESG 1.4)

4. Student admission, progression, recognition and certification (ESG 1.4)

Sub-areas

- 4.1 Student admission, processes and criteria
- 4.2 Student progression
- 4.3 Student recognition
- 4.4 Student certification

4.1 Student admission, processes and criteria

Standards

- *Pre-defined and published regulations regarding student admission are in place.*
- *Access policies, admission processes and criteria are implemented consistently and in a transparent manner.*

4.2 Student progression

Standards

- *Pre-defined and published regulations regarding student progression are in place.*
- *Processes and tools to collect, monitor and act on information on student progression, are in place.*

4.3 Student recognition

Standards

- *Pre-defined and published regulations regarding student recognition are in place.*
- *Fair recognition of higher education qualifications, periods of study and prior learning, including the recognition of non-formal and informal learning, are essential components for ensuring the students' progress in their studies, while promoting mobility.*
- *Appropriate recognition procedures are in place that rely on:*
 - *institutional practice for recognition being in line with the principles of the Lisbon Recognition Convention*
 - *cooperation with other institutions, quality assurance agencies and the national ENIC/NARIC centre with a view to ensuring coherent recognition across the country*

4.4 Student certification

Standards

- *Pre-defined and published regulations regarding student certification are in place.*
- *Students receive certification explaining the qualification gained, including achieved learning outcomes and the context, level, content and status of the studies that were pursued and successfully completed.*

You may also consider the following questions:

- *Are the admission requirements for the study programme appropriate? How is the students' prior preparation/education assessed (including the level of international students, for example)?*
- *How is the procedure of recognition for prior learning and work experience ensured, including recognition of study results acquired at foreign higher education institutions?*
- *Is the certification of the HEI accompanied by a diploma supplement, which is in line with European and international standards?*

Findings

A short description of the situation in the Higher Education Institution (HEI), based on elements from the application for external evaluation and on findings from the onsite visit.

4.1 Student admission, processes and criteria: The MA CALL carries out appropriate processes of admission consistently and in a transparent manner according to the regulations of CUT and to the standards of the European University System. Prospective students receive full information about the study programme and the organization of the host MGAD and LC by visiting the website. Information is given solely through the website as well as in a specially organized induction week for enrolled students. Admission requirements are set in accordance with international standards. They include a recognized first degree in areas relevant to the content of the programme, good knowledge of English, academic reference letters and successful participation in a personal interview by the admissions committee. A maximum of 20 students may be admitted in each study cycle for the MA CALL; however, in practice, the programme has never admitted more than 10.

Information about the first degree is however not as clear as it should be regarding the

prerequisite knowledge of (General) Linguistics that is essential for successfully attending MA CALL. In addition, knowledge of English, that is currently set to a CEFR-minimum of B2, should be upgraded to C1 given that the programme is addressed to teachers of English that are expected to have near-native knowledge of English.

- 4.2 The program operates a multi-level approach to collecting and monitoring information on student progression. The Language Centre keeps a central file and log of student progress. The MA CALL committee discusses the data and identifies potential problems which are also forwarded to the Postgraduate Studies Committee. Student monitoring is continued after graduation to identify job market entry and progression. Pre-defined and published regulations regarding student progression are in place. Processes and tools to collect, monitor and act on information on student progression, are in place.
- 4.3 The qualification gained by successful students on completion of the programme is a Master of Arts in Computer Assisted Language Learning – CALL. It is awarded by the Senate of the Cyprus University of Technology on the recommendation of the Department of Multimedia and Graphic Arts and the Language Centre. While it is perhaps unusual for a Language Centre to award a master's degree, the involvement of MGA appears to enable this, institutionally. As will be seen above we recommend regularizing this situation with the Language Centre moving to become a department. CUT itself is recognized internationally: it was established in 2007 as part of education reform designed to build local capacity for higher education in order to satisfy the needs of Cyprus, supported by the Bologna Process. Cyprus as a whole has successfully implemented the principles of the Lisbon Recognition Convention, and the qualifications gained in its HEIs (including CUT) align with the Lisbon requirements.
- 4.4 Certification. The diploma is provided in Greek and English. The program is based on the European Credit Transfer and Accumulation System (ECTS), 7.5 ECTS credits per course, 90 ECTS credits in total. This ensures comparability and credit transfer with other European universities. Along with transparency and alignment with other universities in the European ECTS it provides transparency in relation to other universities internationally. The degree certificate is accompanied by a Diploma Supplement as specified in the CUT handbook: 4. (3) (a) A degree is accompanied by the Diploma Supplement which is awarded by the University to the degree holder free of charge and in a widely used language; (3) (b) The Diploma Supplement complies with general policy decisions and other guidelines within the single European Higher Education Framework (translated - not available in English)
https://www.cut.ac.cy/digitalAssets/100/100800_1002015Kanonismoi.pdf

Strengths

A list of strengths, e.g. examples of good practices, achievements, innovative solutions etc.

Areas of improvement and recommendations

A list of problem areas to be dealt with, followed by or linked to the recommendations of how to improve the situation.

4.1

- The student admission rubric should be thoroughly revised to ensure information is up to date, benchmarked and transparent according to international standards.

Response/Comments:

After the benchmarking process which we proceeded with in order to introduce improvements, and based on the recommendation of the EEC, the student admission rubric was thoroughly revised to ensure information is up to date, benchmarked and transparent according to international standards. The admission criteria are analysed below:

General entry requirements

- **A Bachelor's Degree**

To meet the entry requirements for master's level studies at CUT students must have an accredited University degree relevant to language education or education, awarded by an accredited institution in the country where it operates, or a degree evaluated as equivalent to a university degree by the Cyprus Council for the Recognition of Higher Education Qualifications (KYSATS).

Other qualifications similar or equivalent to those listed above will be accepted, as determined by the programme's Admission Committee.

In the case the degree qualifications are not similar or equivalent to those listed above, the applicant should provide evidence of the following:

- **A minimum of one year of language teaching or educational experience**
AND/OR
- **A diploma/certificate** related to language teaching/teaching in an educational context (e.g. PGCE, CELTA, CLTA Spanish, DPAFP-FLE, etc.)

- **English language Proficiency**

Students must demonstrate proficiency in English at C1 level of the CEFR through an internationally recognised test such as TOEFL, IELTS or equivalent. Required Test Scores:

IELTS score of 6.5 and above

TOEFLiBT of 95 and above

Cambridge English Scale of 180 and above

EF SET 61 and above

Global Scale of English Pearson Test 76 and above

In case a candidate has graduated from a bachelor's programme taught in English the English proficiency requirement will be waived.

Conditional Admission

Students who have not been awarded a bachelor's degree but are in their final year of studies may be conditionally admitted to a master's programme at Cyprus University of

Technology. When applying, an official transcript of records and a document stating that they are likely to be awarded the degree by the start of the master's programme must also be provided. These documents must be issued through and certified by a member of the Academic Registrar's Office, the Examinations Office, or the equivalent department at the student's institution. Certification from other staff members, such as faculty or college staff members, will not be accepted.

Students who are conditionally admitted must provide a certificate copy of their bachelor's degree upon graduation and before commencing the Master's programme.

- A prerequisite knowledge of (General) Linguistics in the first degree should be added to the admission criteria.

Response/Comments:

We understand the EEC's concern about possessing knowledge of general linguistics, when someone enters the programme, however, we have enhanced the linguistics part in the LCE 510 - Second Language Acquisition, which we believe is sufficient.

- Knowledge of English should be set to a CEFR-minimum of C1.

Response/Comments:

The EEC's recommendation has been taken into consideration and this point has been revised (see entry requirements above).

Moreover, we have also created a more coherent rubric for assessing applicants, as follows:

Admission Criteria	Points values
Relevance of academic background to language education/ education	
Very relevant	3
Relevant	2
Slightly relevant	1
Not relevant	0
OR	
A language teaching diploma/ certificate (e.g. PGCE, CELTA, CLTA Spanish, DPAFP-FLE, etc.)	2
OR	
Teaching experience	

More than one year	2
One year	1
Excellence of academic background (GPA)	
GR & CY: Excellent (Άριστα): 8.5-9.49 & Excellent with Distinction (Άριστα με Διάκριση): 9.5-10 UK: Excellent to Outstanding 70% – 100%	4
GR & CY: Very Good (Λίαν Καλώς): 6.5-8.49 UK: Upper Second 2:1 60% – 69%	3
GR & CY: Good (Καλώς): 5.5-6.49 UK: Lower Second 2:2 50% – 59%	2
GR & UK: Satisfactory (Ικανοποιητική): 5.0-5.49 UK: Third 3 40% – 49%	1
English Language fluency level (CEFR levels)	
C1 and above	3
A2-B2	0
Candidate's motivation (Application letter/ Interview)	
Candidate appears highly motivated and provides very good arguments to support their application	3
Candidate appears motivated and provides good arguments to support their application	2
Candidate appears somewhat motivated and provides some arguments to support their application	1
Candidate does not appear motivated and does not provide satisfactory arguments to support their application	0
TOTAL	10-13 – Strong admit 7-9 – Admit 4-6 – Probable admit 0-3 – Deny

Please select what is appropriate for each of the following sub-areas:

Sub-area		<i>Non-compliant/ Partially Compliant/Compliant</i>
4.1	Student admission, processes and criteria	Partially compliant
4.2	Student progression	Compliant
4.3	Student recognition	Compliant
4.4	Student certification	Compliant

5 Learning resources and student support (ESG 1.6)

Sub-areas

- 5.1 Teaching and Learning resources
- 5.2 Physical resources
- 5.3 Human support resources
- 5.4 Student support

5.1 Teaching and Learning resources

Standards

- *Weekly interactive activities per each course are set.*
- *The e-learning material and activities take advantage of the capabilities offered by the virtual and audio-visual environment and the following are applied:*
 - *Simulations in virtual environments*
 - Problem solving scenarios*
 - *Interactive learning and formative assessment games*
 - *Interactive weekly activities with image, sound and unlimited possibilities for reality reconstruction and further processing based on hypotheses*
 - *They have the ability to transfer students to real-life situations, make decisions, and study the consequences of their decisions*
 - *They help in building skills both in experiences and attitudes like in real life and also in experiencing - not just memorizing knowledge*
- *A pedagogical planning unit for e-learning, which is responsible for the support of the e-learning unit and addresses the requirements for study materials, interactive activities and formative assessment in accordance to international standards, is established.*
- *Adequacy of resources is ensured for changing circumstances (change in student numbers, etc.).*
 - *All resources are fit for purpose.*
 - *Student-centred learning and flexible modes of e-learning and teaching, are taken into account when allocating, planning and providing the learning resources.*

5.2 Physical resources

Standards

- *Physical resources, i.e. premises, libraries, study facilities, IT infrastructure, are adequate to support the study programme.*
- *Adequacy of resources is ensured for changing circumstances (change in student numbers, etc.).*
- *All resources are fit for purpose and students are informed about the services available to them.*

5.3 Human support resources

Standards

- *Human support resources, i.e. tutors/mentors, counsellors, other advisers, qualified administrative staff, are adequate to support the study programme.*
- *Adequacy of resources is ensured for changing circumstances (change in student numbers, etc.).*
- *All resources are fit for purpose and students are informed about the services available to them.*

5.4 Student support

Standards

- *Student support is provided covering the needs of a diverse student population, such as mature, part-time, employed and international students and students with special needs.*
 - *Students are informed about the services available to them.*
- *Student-centred learning and flexible modes of learning and teaching, are taken into account when allocating, planning and providing student support.*
- *Students' mobility within and across higher education systems is encouraged and supported.*

You may also consider the following questions:

- *Evaluate the supply of teaching materials and equipment (including teaching labs, expendable materials, etc.), the condition of classrooms, adequacy of financial resources to conduct the study programme and achieve its objectives. What needs to be supplemented/ improved?*
- *What is the feedback from the teaching staff on the availability of teaching materials, classrooms, etc.?*
- *Are the resources in accordance with actual (changing) needs and contemporary requirements? How is the effectiveness of using resources ensured?*
- *What are the resource-related trends and future risks (risks arising from changing numbers of students, obsolescence of teaching equipment, etc.)? How are these trends taken into account and how are the risks mitigated?*
- *Evaluate student feedback on support services. Based on student feedback, which support services (including information flow, counselling) need further development?*
- *How is student learning within the standard period of study supported (student counselling, flexibility of the study programme, etc.)?*
 - *How students' special needs are considered (different capabilities, different levels of academic preparation, special needs due to physical disabilities, etc.)?*
 - *How is student mobility being supported?*

Findings

A short description of the situation in the Higher Education Institution (HEI), based on elements from the application for external evaluation and on findings from the onsite visit.

5.1 Teaching and learning resources

The program is grounded in a socio-constructivist approach for learning in digital environments and modules are designed on the principle of interaction between students and learners, student and teachers and student and material. In this sense the program operates according to general distance education principles and balances those different perspectives to learning to cater for a variety of needs by students. In the modules, synchronous and asynchronous activities are combined and the combination seems to be well integrated. Activities in themselves are not highly interactive, but adequate. Some are collaborative, some individual. Attention should be paid to increasing the diversity and interactivity of activities. Assignments are diverse and focus on transfer to practice. Study guides provide an overview about weekly activities (Please note recommendation in 2.4). Members of the language centre were also part of the core team which developed the institution-wide “learning network”. In this task the expertise for the e-learning focus was available in the Language Centre and has been drawn on. Attention should be paid to monitoring whether this servicing role for the wider university is to the detriment of the development of MA CALL academic programme. The team has a vision for adapting the current setup of the program in case of rising student numbers. As suggested in other parts of our report it is important that systems are in place to prepare for this. Student-centeredness is visible in the planning of the program.

5.2 Physical resources:

Resources, both physical and virtual, are broadly adequate to support MA CALL students and teaching staff. The physical premises of LC (as the main entity hosting and operating the programme) are housed in a nice renovated building in central Limassol. This building consists of two multimedia rooms used for language teaching purposes, a Research and

Cooperative and Interactive Learning Centre (ReCILLC), a student waiting room and a number of offices for the teaching and administrative staff. Members of staff have their own fully equipped and ergonomic working space in the building. LC is equipped with multimedia facilities in classrooms and the Moodle e-learning platform that is a crucial component for learning and teaching in the MACALL along with other IT infrastructure. (However see recommendations on refreshing the Moodle platform above.) LC is very active in web 2.0 technologies usage and has involved social media platforms (Facebook) in the interactive communication between students and tutors thus facilitating their day-to-day interaction. Again, please note the recommendation above concerning the GDPR issues with Facebook.

Students are aware of the resources available and get special training by expert technical staff in using IT systems that are necessary in their distance learning experience. The same applies to members of staff who are consistently supported in their teaching duties through the Moodle platform and other IT facilities. Students and staff have access to a physical library as well as to electronic bibliographical resources that are appropriate for supporting learning and research within MA CALL.

5.3 The current level of support for students seems to be excellent.

This is partly to do with the relatively small number of students on the programme. A number of students we spoke to mentioned a “family” atmosphere. This reflects the dedication of programme staff and the roles as academic advisers and teachers. It also reflects facilities offered by the department in the shape of a student affairs officer. The student affairs services of the university offer support and advice including for personal not academic problems.

There is a psychologist on the staff of the student affairs services. However the relevant personnel noted that students on the programme didn’t typically draw on counselling services. This may be because of the more mature age of the students but also the excellent level of care at programme level. A number of students we spoke to mentioned the level of support offered by programme staff when they encountered personal problems that could potentially prejudice their studies. This issue of course is how to maintain this high level of support if student numbers increase as anticipated.

5.4 The student welfare mechanisms, as listed in section 12 of the application document, are: the Student Affairs Services, where student affairs officers can provide help; the academic advisor assigned to each student; the bursaries offered to students who cannot afford full fees, and the support offered to students who have medical problems and learning disabilities (see 2.1). The academic and pastoral support provided by the course team was an area of strength mentioned by the students during the evaluation (see 1.4). Students are well-supported by the IT service, the Language Centre administrative staff, the admissions team, and the Student Affairs Service (see 1.4). Training, guidance and support in e-learning are provided by the course team, module-by-module and in the induction week activities (see 2.1). The University is part of the European University of Technology (one of eight across the EU), which potentially provides opportunities for student mobility in future iterations of the programme.

Strengths

A list of strengths, e.g. examples of good practices, achievements, innovative solutions etc.

- 5.1 A high level of communication and interaction is the core paradigm for realizing the program. The discussion with students has revealed that students are very satisfied with this approach.
- 5.2 Physical and virtual resources are on the whole well built and operated offering a pleasant learning and tutoring experience to both students and teaching staff.
- 5.3 There is a high level of personalized support at programme level, which was repeatedly commented on by the students we spoke to.
- 5.4 The academic and pastoral support provided by the course team is highly valued by students.

Areas of improvement and recommendations

A list of problem areas to be dealt with, followed by or linked to the recommendations of how to improve the situation.

5.3 Recommendation:

There is a need to ensure that the levels of support are maintained with the projected higher student numbers and thus a more challenging staff/student ratio.

Response/Comments:

One very strong point of our programme is the support that is provided to students. In the view of the increase in the numbers of students, a peer support system will be established. This will entail the creation of a support community among learners that will function on a mentorship basis. Students will be placed in pairs or small teams and will act as mentors by providing peer-to-peer support in the areas of instruction, development of knowledge, as well as social and interpersonal skills. In order to facilitate these groups, online forums will be implemented. Overall, we aim at compensating the challenges in staff-student ratio by involving the students themselves in peer mentoring in order to sustain the current high level of academic achievement and low drop-out rates. This is a well-established practice in online courses worldwide (see Rieske, L. J., & Benjamin, M. (2015). Utilizing peer mentor roles in learning communities. *New Directions for Student Services*, 2015(149), 67-77).

5.4 Recommendation:

We encourage the programme team to explore how opportunities for mobility offered by membership of the European University of Technology might be integrated into the programme.

Response/Comments:

The European University of Technology (EUT+) project is rapidly progressing, and networks and collaborations are currently being established, which will increase and facilitate the opportunities for mobility for the MA in CALL programme's students and staff. More specifically, the project will enable students to attend courses that span across the 8

universities that comprise the EUT+ consortium (Hochschule Darmstadt, University of Applied Sciences, Germany; Rīgas Tehniskā universitāte, Letonia; Technological University Dublin, Irlanda; Technical University of Sofia, Bulgaria; Cyprus University of Technology, Cyprus; Universidad Politécnica de Cartagena, Spain; The Technical University of Cluj-Napoca, Romania; Université de Technologie de Troyes, France). The EU+ consortium is built around a shared vision, having as its central pillar the motto «Think human first».

Please select what is appropriate for each of the following sub-areas:

Sub-area		<i>Non-compliant/ Partially Compliant/Compliant</i>
5.1	Teaching and Learning resources	Partially compliant
5.2	Physical resources	Compliant
5.3	Human support resources	Compliant
5.4	Student support	Compliant

6 Additional for doctoral programmes

(ALL ESG)

N/A

7. Eligibility (Joint programme)

(ALL ESG)

N/A

e) Conclusions and final remarks

Please provide constructive conclusions and final remarks which may form the basis upon which improvements of the quality of the programme of study under review may be achieved, with emphasis on the correspondence with the EQF.

The MA CALL programme is a well developed master's programme, broadly conforming to European Qualifications Framework and international norms and benchmarking well with other comparable programmes internationally. Basic mechanisms for Quality Assurance are in place, and the programme staff are clearly in the vanguard in the introduction of quality processes, but much remains to be done to properly embed quality assurance processes that can drive continuing evaluation and improvement of the programme. The programme would also benefit from Key Performance Indicators against which to evaluate itself **((see Recommendation 1.4 (d))**. The EEC is aware that this has to be seen in the context of the ongoing roll-out of QA processes across the university (a point that was clearly made in our meeting with members of the Internal Evaluation Committee) and doesn't doubt the commitment of the programme staff to the ongoing process. In particular, it is important to see certain processes which were undertaken in making the case for the degree in its development stage as ongoing and iterative: benchmarking with international comparators **((see Recommendation 1.1 (c&e))**, forming strong connections with key stakeholders such as employers **((see Recommendation 1.1 (d) and Recommendation 2.2 (b))**, creating greater involvement on the part of students **((see Recommendation 1.1 (b))**. So the programme team needs to continue to develop their quality processes in two distinctive ways: through reaching *out* to key stakeholders **((see Recommendation 1.1 (c))**, employers **((see Recommendation 1.1 (d))**, students **((see Recommendation 1.4 (d))**, alumni **(a more structured process is being followed, including the development of questionnaire for the alumni asking them to indicate their employment status and give a testimonial - <http://surl.li/arkiu>)** and by reaching *in* to the teaching and learning offer to ensure that a continual process of quality monitoring and evaluation drives change and improvement in the teaching and learning offered. **It should be stressed that the MA in CALL programme has been evaluated and recognised by DOATAP (Hellenic National Academic Recognition and Information Centre), an opportunity which will be taken advantage of in order to maintain the quality of the programme.** This is what will take the programme to the next level in QA terms and build its reputation internationally. The overall architecture of the degree is well thought out, though there is a need for some updating of individual courses and in particular a more in-depth re-think of LCE517 **((see Recommendation 2.1 (f))**. The EEC also had concerns about the inclusion of course content in the study guides **((see Recommendation 2.4 (c))** and is of the view that the Moodle environment needs updating and refreshing **((see Recommendation 2.4 (e))**, along with a style guide to ensure all material has a comparable "look". The EEC also had concerns on potential GDPR issues involved in using Facebook and Google Classrooms for e-learning **((see Recommendation 2.4 (a))**. The MA CALL programme benefits from a committed and well qualified staff with research interests and activities which support and can potentially enrich the teaching. The view of the EEC is that every effort should be made to support their research activity in a way comparable to academic staff **((see Recommendation 3.3 (a & c))**. The EEC understands the history of the setting up of the MA programme between the Language Centre and the department, but feels the way forward is the emergence of the Language Centre as a department in its own right, perhaps as a Department of Applied Language Studies or similar **((see Recommendation 3.2 (b))**. Up till now the programme has been running with fewer students than planned, which has allowed the staff to develop a hands-on support as it were an individualized approach which is much appreciated by its students **((see Recommendation 5.3)**.

The programme staff and the university appear confident that the numbers will grow and there is no reason to believe that they won't, particularly in the aftermath of the pandemic which has propelled everyone into on-line teaching and learning, however underprepared. The MA CALL has something to offer in this emergent context but needs to continue effectively researching and testing the market to adapt to changes in the on-line environment and the wider educational world. This would include a name change to bring it more in line with the ways that on-line learning is going.

Higher Education Institution academic representatives

<i>Name</i>	<i>Position</i>	<i>nature</i>
Anastasia Mouskou Peck	Assistant Professor (FT), & MA CALL Coordinator	
Androulla Athanasiou	Special Teaching Staff (FT)	
Antigoni Parmaxi	Special Teaching Staff (FT)	
Anna Nicolaou	Special Teaching Staff (FT)	
Elis Kakoulli Constantinou	Special Teaching Staff (FT)	
Click to enter Name	Click to enter Position	

Date: 15/11/2021

