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The present document has been prepared within the framework of the authority and competencies of the Cyprus Agency of Quality Assurance and Accreditation in Higher Education, according to the provisions of the "Quality Assurance and Accreditation of Higher Education and the Establishment and Operation of an Agency on Related Matters Laws of 2015 to 2019" [N. 136 (I)/2015 to N. 35(I)/2019].
A. Introduction

This part includes basic information regarding the onsite visit.

Following the invitation by the Cyprus Agency of Quality Assurance and Accreditation in Higher Education (CYQAA), the External Evaluation Committee (EEC) had the opportunity to evaluate the Marketing and Digital Communications BBA program, offered by the European University Cyprus, based in Nicosia.

The EEC consisted of three academics with relevant disciplinary expertise to marketing and digital communications and a student representative. Due to the on-going pandemic, the evaluation took place online on the $7^{\text {th }}$ of September 2020. The committee had the opportunity to meet with the senior management of the University, the academic faculty, support staff and students. During the meetings, the committee attended presentations organized by the University related to the institution and the program. The EEC had the opportunity to ask questions related to the program, faculty, and the institution more broadly and ask additional evidence when it was required. Given that the evaluation took place online, the EEC did not have the opportunity to visit the University's premises. Still, comprehensive video presentations were provided which were considered sufficient for the purposes of this evaluation.
The external evaluation committee would like to thank all parties involved for their cooperation and support during the online evaluation. The committee would also like to thank the CYQAA coordinator for managing the process both efficiently and effectively.
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## B. External Evaluation Committee (EEC)

| Name | Position | University |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Prof Savvas Papagiannidis | David Goldman Professor of <br> Innovation of Enterprise | Newcastle University <br> Business School |
| Dr Christos Kolympiris | Associate Professor | University of Warwick |
| Dr Simos Chari | Associate Professor | Alliance Manchester <br> Business School |
| Charalampia Vraka | Student Rep | University of Cyprus |

## C. Guidelines on content and structure of the report

- The external evaluation report follows the structure of assessment areas.
- At the beginning of each assessment area there is a box presenting:
(a) sub-areas
(b) standards which are relevant to the European Standards and Guidelines (ESG)
(c) some questions that EEC may find useful.
- The questions aim at facilitating the understanding of each assessment area and at illustrating the range of topics covered by the standards.
- Under each assessment area, it is important to provide information regarding the compliance with the requirements of each sub-area. In particular, the following must be included:


## Findings

A short description of the situation in the Higher Education Institution (HEI), based on elements from the application for external evaluation and on findings from the onsite visit.

## Strengths

A list of strengths, e.g. examples of good practices, achievements, innovative solutions etc.

## Areas of improvement and recommendations

A list of problem areas to be dealt with, followed by or linked to the recommendations of how to improve the situation.

- The EEC should state the compliance for each sub-area (Non-compliant, Partially compliant, Compliant), which must be in agreement with everything stated in the report. It is pointed out that, in the case of standards that cannot be applied due to the status of the HEI and/or of the programme of study, N/A (= Not Applicable) should be noted.
- The EEC should state the conclusions and final remarks regarding the programme of study as a whole.
- The report may also address other issues which the EEC finds relevant.
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1. Study programme and study programme's design and development
(ESG 1.1, 1.2, 1.7, 1.8, 1.9)

## Sub-areas

### 1.1 Policy for quality assurance <br> 1.2 Design, approval, on-going monitoring and review <br> 1.3 Public information <br> 1.4 Information management

### 1.1 Policy for quality assurance

## Standards

- Policy for quality assurance of the programme of study:
- has a formal status and is publicly available
- supports the organisation of the quality assurance system through appropriate structures, regulations and processes
- supports teaching, administrative staff and students to take on their responsibilities in quality assurance
- ensures academic integrity and freedom and is vigilant against academic fraud
- guards against intolerance of any kind or discrimination against the students or staff
- supports the involvement of external stakeholders


### 1.2 Design, approval, on-going monitoring and review

## Standards

- The programme of study:
- is designed with overall programme objectives that are in line with the institutional strategy and have explicit intended learning outcomes
- is designed by involving students and other stakeholders
- benefits from external expertise
- reflects the four purposes of higher education of the Council of Europe (preparation for sustainable employment, personal development, preparation for life as active citizens in democratic societies, the development and maintenance, through teaching, learning and research, of a broad, advanced knowledge base)
- is designed so that it enables smooth student progression
- is designed so that the exams' and assignments' content corresponds to the level of the programme and the number of ECTS
- defines the expected student workload in ECTS
- includes well-structured placement opportunities where appropriate
- is subject to a formal institutional approval process
- results in a qualification that is clearly specified and communicated, and refers to the correct level of the National Qualifications Framework for Higher Education and, consequently, to the Framework for Qualifications of the European Higher Education Area
- is regularly monitored in the light of the latest research in the given discipline, thus ensuring that the programme is up-to-date
- is periodically reviewed so that it takes into account the changing needs of society, the students' workload, progression and completion, the effectiveness of procedures for assessment of students, student expectations, needs and satisfaction in relation to the programme
- is reviewed and revised regularly involving students and other stakeholders


### 1.3 Public information

## Standards

- Regarding the programme of study, clear, accurate, up-to date and readily accessible information is published about:
- selection criteria
- intended learning outcomes
- qualification awarded
- teaching, learning and assessment procedures
- pass rates
- learning opportunities available to the students
- graduate employment information


### 1.4 Information management

## Standards

- Information for the effective management of the programme of study is collected, monitored and analysed:
- key performance indicators
- profile of the student population
- student progression, success and drop-out rates
- students' satisfaction with their programmes
- learning resources and student support available
- career paths of graduates
- Students and staff are involved in providing and analysing information and planning follow-up activities.

You may also consider the following questions:

- What is the procedure for quality assurance of the programme and who is involved?
- Who is involved in the study programme's design and development (launching, changing, internal evaluation) and what is taken into account (strategies, the needs of society, etc.)?
- How/to what extent are students themselves involved in the development of the content of their studies?
- Please evaluate a) whether the study programme remains current and consistent with developments in society (labour market, digital technologies, etc.), and b) whether the content and objectives of the study programme are in accordance with each other?
- Do the content and the delivery of the programme correspond to the European Qualifications Framework (EQF)?
- How is coherence of the study programme ensured, i.e., logical sequence and coherence of courses? How are substantial overlaps between courses avoided? How is it ensured that the teaching staff is aware of the content and outputs of their colleagues' work within the same study programme?
- How does the study programme support development of the learners' general competencies (including digital literacy, foreign language skills, entrepreneurship, communication and teamwork skills)?
- What are the scope and objectives of the foundation courses in the study programme (where appropriate)? What are the pass rates?
- How long does it take a student on average to graduate? Is the graduation rate for the study programme analogous to other European programmes with similar content? What is the pass rate per course/semester?
- How is it ensured that the actual student workload is in accordance with the workload expressed by ECTS?
- What are the opportunities for international students to participate in the study programme (courses/modules taught in a foreign language)?
- Is information related to the programme of study publicly available?
- How is the HEI evaluating the success of its graduates in the labor market? What is the feedback from graduates of the study programme on their employment and/or continuation of studies?
- Have the results of student feedback been analysed and taken into account, and how (e.g., when planning in-service training for the teaching staff)?
- What are the reasons for dropping out (voluntary withdrawal)? What has been done to reduce the number of such students?


## Findings

A short description of the situation in the Higher Education Institution (HEI), based on elements from the application for external evaluation and on findings from the onsite visit.
The EEC notes that there are policies related to quality assurance set by the University. These are observed and applied by the department and teaching staff when it comes to the programme under
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consideration. Evidence of quality assurance meetings with regards to the program's ongoing review and development have been provided. Changes to the program are subject to a formal institutional approval process. The policies are publicly available, and staff and students appear to be familiar with them.

The policies are clear when it comes to setting the standards across all aspects of the program, such as curriculum development, assessment policies and plagiarism, student progression and ECTS credits. ECTS workload is along the expected lines.

The qualification awarded meets the National Qualifications Framework for Higher Education and industry expectations.

The EEC enquired about up-to date and readily accessible information such as entry criteria, learning outcomes, teaching, learning and assessment procedures, pass rates and employment information. The programme coordinator and teaching team provided sufficient evidence of such information being available and utilised accordingly. We were also provided information (e.g. employability and career path of graduates) with regards to the profile of the student cohorts.

## Strengths

A list of strengths, e.g. examples of good practices, achievements, innovative solutions etc.
The EEC notes the willingness of the teaching team to periodically review the programme so that its learning objectives and outcomes remain relevant to external stakeholder requirements. The EEC requested evidence of such practices as a way of also ensuring that quality assurance processes are followed. Such evidence was provided demonstrating that the teaching team and the department adhere to good practices.

## Areas of improvement and recommendations

A list of problem areas to be dealt with, followed by or linked to the recommendations of how to improve the situation.

The department could consider formally appointing external advisors, both academic (international) and practitioners (from the local market). Such advisors could play a valuable role in disseminating and encouraging good practices; for instance, informing future curriculum reviews, and providing insights as to the skills that students need to possess for a successful future career.

The department and teaching team could consider ways with which the curriculum, but also the wider student experience is enhanced in such a way that brings a more international perspective. Activities such as Erasmus exchange that are already in place could be further utilised.

## Please select what is appropriate for each of the following sub-areas:

| Sub-area |  | Non-compliant/ <br> Partially Compliant/Compliant |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 1.1 | Policy for quality assurance | Compliant |
| 1.2 | Design, approval, on-going monitoring and review | Compliant |
| 1.3 | Public information | Compliant |
| $\mathbf{1 . 4}$ | Information management | Compliant |
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## 2. Student - centred learning, teaching and assessment (ESG 1.3)

## Sub-areas

### 2.1 Process of teaching and learning and student-centred teaching methodology

2.2 Practical training
2.3 Student assessment

### 2.1 Process of teaching and learning

## Standards

- The process of teaching and learning supports students' individual and social development.
- The process of teaching and learning is flexible, considers different modes of delivery, where appropriate, uses a variety of pedagogical methods and facilitates the achievement of planned learning outcomes.
- Students are encouraged to take an active role in creating the learning process.
- The implementation of student-centered learning and teaching encourages a sense of autonomy in the learner, while ensuring adequate guidance and support from the teacher.
- Teaching methods, tools and material used in teaching are modern, effective, support the use of modern educational technologies and are regularly updated.
- Mutual respect within the learner-teacher relationship is promoted.
- The implementation of student-centred learning and teaching respects and attends to the diversity of students and their needs, enabling flexible learning paths.
- Appropriate procedures for dealing with students' complaints regarding the process of teaching and learning are set.


### 2.2 Practical training

## Standards

- Practical and theoretical studies are interconnected.
- The organisation and the content of practical training, if applicable, support achievement of planned learning outcomes and meet the needs of the stakeholders.


### 2.3 Student assessment

## Standards

- Assessment is consistent, fairly applied to all students and carried out in accordance with the stated procedures.
- Assessment is appropriate, transparent, objective and supports the development of the learner.
- The criteria for and method of assessment, as well as criteria for marking, are published in advance.
- Assessment allows students to demonstrate the extent to which the intended learning outcomes have been achieved. Students are given feedback, which, if necessary, is linked to advice on the learning process.
- Assessment, where possible, is carried out by more than one examiner.
- A formal procedure for student appeals is in place.
- Assessors are familiar with existing testing and examination methods and receive support in developing their own skills in this field.
- The regulations for assessment take into account mitigating circumstances.

You may also consider the following questions:

- How is it monitored that the teaching staff base their teaching and assessment methods on objectives and intended learning outcomes? Provide samples of examination papers (if available).
- How are students' different abilities, learning needs and learning opportunities taken into consideration when conducting educational activities?
- How is the development of students' general competencies (including digital skills) supported in educational activities?
- How is it ensured that innovative teaching methods, learning environments and learning aids that support learning are diverse and used in educational activities?
- Is the teaching staff using new technology in order to make the teaching process more effective?
- How is it ensured that theory and practice are interconnected in teaching and learning?
- How is practical training organised (finding practical training positions, guidelines for practical training, supervision, reporting, feedback, etc.)? What role does practical training have in achieving the objectives of the study programme? What is student feedback on the content and arrangement of practical training?
- Are students actively involved in research? How is student involvement in research set up?
- How is supervision of student research papers (seminar papers, projects, theses, etc.) organised?
- Do students' assessments correspond to the European Qualifications Framework (EQF)?
- How are the assessment methods chosen and to what extent do students get supportive feedback on their academic progress during their studies?
- How is the objectivity and relevance of student assessment ensured (assessment of the degree of achievement of the intended learning outcomes)?


## Findings

A short description of the situation in the Higher Education Institution (HEI), based on elements from the application for external evaluation and on findings from the onsite visit.
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There is a process in place to monitor the latest field developments and to update the programme as per necessary. The internal and external evaluations such as the one currently undertaken are a good opportunity to reflect on what is necessary for the students' and the program's development. To this end the EEC notes the changes that have taken place since the program was initially launched. The program also benefits from more regular module-level changes that reflect teaching staff's research and the latest developments in their respective areas.

## Strengths

A list of strengths, e.g. examples of good practices, achievements, innovative solutions etc.
Teaching methods, tools and material used in the pedagogic process and overall delivery of the programme are along the expectations set by modern practices. This was reflected on the resilience demonstrated during the transition to online teaching.

The EEC notes the willingness and positive attitude of the teaching staff when it comes to the implementation of student-centred learning.

The department has the necessary procedures for dealing with students' complaints in an effective manner.

## Areas of improvement and recommendations

A list of problem areas to be dealt with, followed by or linked to the recommendations of how to improve the situation.

Although the EEC notes that the program is in a relatively good standing, the committee feels that the following areas of improvement should be carefully considered by the teaching team and the department.

The title needs to be clarified in order to clearly reflect the content of the program. The program is currently promoted as a marketing and digital communications program. Still, this is effectively a business administration degree with a specialisation in marketing and digital communications. Not surprisingly, given the above, and despite the fact that the program offers a number of marketing and digital communication modules, these are primarily included in the $3^{\text {rd }}$ and $4^{\text {th }}$ year curriculum.

The committee would like to recommend the following three points that could underpin a restructuring of the program:

1) Add more marketing modules such as consumer behaviour and marketing research (that could be placed early in the program).
2) Ensure that modules (both broad and specialised) are clearly interconnected and there is a logical progression from one semester to the next.
3) Review the distribution of marketing and communication modules and ensure that they are distributed across the program's duration. This will entail adding more marketing and communication modules in the first and second year making it possible for students to develop their knowledge and skills early on. In turn, this will enable students later in the program to undertake more advanced and in-depth modules in marketing and communications.

In addition, the teaching team could consider how the learning objectives and outcomes at the module level maps to the program ones. This will help ensure that the program on offer is not just a collection of modules, but a coherent learning offering. This also applies to the underlying assessment strategy that should be reviewed and mapped at the program level and not just at the module level.

Please select what is appropriate for each of the following sub-areas:

| Sub-area |  | Non-compliant/ <br> Partially Compliant/Compliant |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 . 1}$ | Process of teaching and learning and student- <br> centred teaching methodology | Partially compliant |
| $\mathbf{2 . 2}$ | Practical training | Compliant |
| $\mathbf{2 . 3}$ | Student assessment | Partially compliant |

3. Teaching staff (ESG 1.5)

Sub-areas
3.1 Teaching staff recruitment and development
3.2 Teaching staff number and status
3.3 Synergies of teaching and research
3.1 Teaching staff recruitment and development

## Standards

- Institutions ensure the competence of their teaching staff.
- Fair, transparent and clear processes for the recruitment and development of the teaching staff are set up.
- Teaching staff qualifications are adequate to achieve the objectives and planned learning outcomes of the study programme, and to ensure quality and sustainability of the teaching and learning.
- The teaching staff is regularly engaged in professional and teaching-skills training and development.
- Promotion of the teaching staff takes into account the quality of their teaching, their research activity, the development of their teaching skills and their mobility.
- Innovation in teaching methods and the use of new technologies is encouraged.
- Conditions of employment that recognise the importance of teaching are followed.
- Recognised visiting teaching staff participates in teaching the study programme.


### 3.2 Teaching staff number and status

## Standards

- The number of the teaching staff is adequate to support the programme of study.
- The teaching staff status (rank, full/part time) is appropriate to offer a quality programme of study.
- Visiting staff number does not exceed the number of the permanent staff.


### 3.3 Synergies of teaching and research

Standards

- The teaching staff collaborate in the fields of teaching and research within the HEI and with partners outside (practitioners in their fields, employers, and staff members at other HEls in Cyprus or abroad).
- Scholarly activity to strengthen the link between education and research is encouraged.
- The teaching staff publications are within the discipline.
- Teaching staff studies and publications are closely related to the programme's courses.
- The allocation of teaching hours compared to the time for research activity is appropriate.

You may also consider the following questions:

- How are the members of the teaching staff supported with regard to the development of their teaching skills? How is feedback given to members of the teaching staff regarding their teaching results and teaching skills?

```
- How is the teaching performance assessed? How does their teaching performance affect their remuneration, evaluation and/or selection?
- Is teaching connected with research?
- Does the HEI involve visiting teaching staff from other HEls in Cyprus and abroad?
- What is the number, workload, qualifications and status of the teaching staff (rank, full/part timers)?
- Is student evaluation conducted on the teaching staff? If yes, have the results of student feedback been analysed and taken into account, and how (e.g., when planning in-service training for the teaching staff)?
```


## Findings

A short description of the situation in the Higher Education Institution (HEI), based on elements from the application for external evaluation and on findings from the onsite visit.
The programme is supported by a teaching team that has the required qualifications at the expected level. Faculty brings expertise and experience in the program's areas namely business administration, marketing and communications. There is a sufficiently good correspondence between staff's qualifications/expertise and the modules delivered.

Members of the teaching team are typically engaged in relevant research activities which can inform their teaching. Such spill-overs can positively affect the student learning experience and offer a more up-to-date insights into the areas that the program covers.

The EEC also observed that a number of faculty have been present in the department for a number of years. This makes it possible to efficiently and effectively operationalise the program's curriculum and underlying policies. It also suggests that the department and the University offer conducive working conditions for both research and teaching.

The University has clear rules on career progression and promotion and the faculty is aware of the expectations and criteria set. The faculty met during the evaluation, expressed their satisfaction with the resources and time available to meet such expectations.

The department has a clear workload policy when it comes to teaching that is fairly and consistently applied.

## Strengths

A list of strengths, e.g. examples of good practices, achievements, innovative solutions etc.
There is a number of internal and external initiatives that support teaching faculty's development. This is pertinent to the current pandemic circumstances when it comes to teaching online. A budget is available to support such training and development.

There appears to be a sufficiently collegial environment that sees members of staff support each other when needed, especially early career staff.
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## Areas of improvement and recommendations

A list of problem areas to be dealt with, followed by or linked to the recommendations of how to improve the situation.
Overall, the EEC is satisfied with the human resources supporting the program.

Please select what is appropriate for each of the following sub-areas:

| Sub-area |  | Non-compliant// <br> Partially Compliant/Compliant |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 3.1 | Teaching staff recruitment and development | Compliant |
| 3.2 | Teaching staff number and status | Compliant |
| 3.3 | Synergies of teaching and research | Compliant |

ФОРЕА $\Sigma ~ \triangle I A \Sigma Ф A N I \Sigma H \Sigma ~ K A I ~ П I \Sigma T O П O I H \Sigma H \Sigma ~ T H \Sigma ~ П О І О Т Н T A \Sigma ~ T H \Sigma ~ A N \Omega T E P H \Sigma ~ E K П A I \triangle E Y \Sigma H \Sigma ~$
CYPRUS AGENCY OF QUALITY ASSURANCE AND ACCREDITATION IN HIGHER EDUCATION
eqar|III, enQる.
4. Student admission, progression, recognition and certification (ESG 1.4)

## Sub-areas <br> 4.1 Student admission, processes and criteria <br> 4.2 Student progression <br> 4.3 Student recognition <br> 4.4 Student certification

### 4.1 Student admission

## Standards

- Pre-defined and published regulations regarding student admission are in place.
- Access policies, admission processes and criteria are implemented consistently and in a transparent manner.


### 4.2 Student progression

Standards

- Pre-defined and published regulations regarding student progression are in place.
- Processes and tools to collect, monitor and act on information on student progression, are in place.


### 4.3Student recognition

## Standards

- Pre-defined and published regulations regarding student recognition are in place.
- Fair recognition of higher education qualifications, periods of study and prior learning, including the recognition of non-formal and informal learning, are essential components for ensuring the students' progress in their studies, while promoting mobility.
- Appropriate recognition procedures are in place that rely on:
- institutional practice for recognition being in line with the principles of the Lisbon Recognition Convention
- cooperation with other institutions, quality assurance agencies and the national ENIC/NARIC centre with a view to ensuring coherent recognition across the country


### 4.4 Student certification

## Standards

- Pre-defined and published regulations regarding student certification are in place.
- Students receive certification explaining the qualification gained, including achieved learning outcomes and the context, level, content and status of the studies that were pursued and successfully completed.

You may also consider the following questions:

- Are the admission requirements for the study programme appropriate? How is the students' prior preparation/education assessed (including the level of international students, for example)?
- How is the procedure of recognition for prior learning and work experience ensured, including recognition of study results acquired at foreign higher education institutions?
- Is the certification of the HEI accompanied by a diploma supplement, which is in line with European and international standards?


## Findings

A short description of the situation in the Higher Education Institution (HEI), based on elements from the application for external evaluation and on findings from the onsite visit.
The student admission requirements have been found to be clear and in line with the criteria set by the national HE framework.

The EEC observed that there are policies and mechanisms in place that ensure that students develop, progress and are being recognised for their achievements. Such policies are made clear to the students from the outset in published guidelines by the department and programme.

## Strengths

A list of strengths, e.g. examples of good practices, achievements, innovative solutions etc.
The students expressed their overall satisfaction with the programme and how the department runs. They were particularly complementary on the way that the transition to online teaching due to the current pandemic took place in a meaningful way and supported their learning experience.

## Areas of improvement and recommendations

A list of problem areas to be dealt with, followed by or linked to the recommendations of how to improve the situation.

The EEC notes that the University and department have plans to continue with online teaching provisions in the short term. Such experiences gained can help the programme develop further in the future. This is in line with the nature of the programme and the content on offer (namely digital communications), which can
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enhance the students' learning experience from an end-user perspective. This is a point of consideration and not necessarily a point of improvement.

Please select what is appropriate for each of the following sub-areas:

| Sub-area |  | Non-compliant/ <br> Partially Compliant/Compliant |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 4.1 | Student admission, processes and criteria | Compliant |
| 4.2 | Student progression | Compliant |
| 4.3 | Student recognition | Compliant |
| 4.4 | Student certification | Compliant |
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5. Learning resources and student support (ESG 1.6)

## Sub-areas <br> 5.1 Teaching and Learning resources <br> 5.2 Physical resources <br> 5.3 Human support resources <br> 5.4 Student support

### 5.1 Teaching and Learning resources

## Standards

- Adequate and readily accessible teaching and learning resources (teaching and learning environments, materials, aids and equipment) are provided to students and support the achievement of objectives in the study programme.
- Adequacy of resources is ensured for changing circumstances (change in student numbers, etc.).
- All resources are fit for purpose.
- Student-centred learning and flexible modes of learning and teaching, are taken into account when allocating, planning and providing the learning resources.


### 5.2 Physical resources

## Standards

- Physical resources, i.e. premises, libraries, study facilities, IT infrastructure, are adequate to support the study programme.
- Adequacy of resources is ensured for changing circumstances (change in student numbers, etc.).
- All resources are fit for purpose and students are informed about the services available to them.


### 5.3 Human support resources

## Standards

- Human support resources, i.e. tutors/mentors, counsellors, other advisers, qualified administrative staff, are adequate to support the study programme.
- Adequacy of resources is ensured for changing circumstances (change in student numbers, etc.).
- All resources are fit for purpose and students are informed about the services available to them.


### 5.4 Student support

## Standards

- Student support is provided covering the needs of a diverse student population, such as mature, part-time, employed and international students and students with special needs.
- Students are informed about the services available to them.
- Student-centred learning and flexible modes of learning and teaching, are taken into account when allocating, planning and providing student support.
- Students' mobility within and across higher education systems is encouraged and supported.

You may also consider the following questions:

- Evaluate the supply of teaching materials and equipment (including teaching labs, expendable materials, etc.), the condition of classrooms, adequacy of financial resources to conduct the study programme and achieve its objectives. What needs to be supplemented/ improved?
- What is the feedback from the teaching staff on the availability of teaching materials, classrooms, etc.?
- Are the resources in accordance with actual (changing) needs and contemporary requirements? How is the effectiveness of using resources ensured?
- What are the resource-related trends and future risks (risks arising from changing numbers of students, obsolescence of teaching equipment, etc.)? How are these trends taken into account and how are the risks mitigated?
- Evaluate student feedback on support services. Based on student feedback, which support services (including information flow, counselling) need further development?
- How is student learning within the standard period of study supported (student counselling, flexibility of the study programme, etc.)?
- How students' special needs are considered (different capabilities, different levels of academic preparation, special needs due to physical disabilities, etc.)?
- How is student mobility being supported?


## Findings

A short description of the situation in the Higher Education Institution (HEI), based on elements from the application for external evaluation and on findings from the onsite visit.
Given that the EEC did not have the opportunity to visit the University in person, the committee's view related to teaching, physical and human support resources on offer were primarily based on the report submitted by the University, the videos provided, and the meetings with administrative staff and end-users.

Overall, the EEC notes that the University offers a wide range of services to both the teaching faculty and the students which should hopefully enhance the learning and student experience.

This especially applies to the library facilities that feature a wide range of sources from reputable publishers, as well as the IT facilities. The latter are critical to the resilience of the programme for the short/medium term. This both applies to the underlying technical infrastructure, but also to the remote user support. A tutoring system is in place, that can support students when they have academic or personal issues.

## Strengths

A list of strengths, e.g. examples of good practices, achievements, innovative solutions etc.
We noted that the University, teaching, and support staff were able to migrate teaching activities online when circumstances related to the pandemic dictated so. Being able to migrate in a very short period of time and continue without major disruptions is a testimony to the resilience of the Institution and its people.

We also note the University's practice to engage with other HE institutions as a consortium when it comes to acquiring library resources. This maximises the available budget, making it possible to divert resources in other areas.

## Areas of improvement and recommendations

A list of problem areas to be dealt with, followed by or linked to the recommendations of how to improve the situation.

N/A

Please select what is appropriate for each of the following sub-areas:

| Sub-area |  | Non-compliant/ <br> Partially Compliant/Compliant |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $\mathbf{5 . 1}$ | Teaching and Learning resources | Compliant |
| 5.2 | Physical resources | Compliant |
| 5.3 | Human support resources | Compliant |
| 5.4 | Student support | Compliant |
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## 6. Additional for distance learning programmes (ALL ESG)

## Sub-areas <br> 6.1 Distance learning philosophy and methodology <br> 6.2 Distance learning material at the appropriate level according to EQF <br> 6.3 Interaction plan and Interactive weekly activities <br> 6.4 Study guides

### 6.1 Distance learning philosophy and methodology

## Standards

- The distance learning methodology is appropriate for the particular programme of study.
- Feedback processes for students in relation to written assignments are set.
- A complete assessment framework is designed, focusing on distance learning methodology, including clearly defined evaluation criteria for student assignments and the final examination.
- Expected teleconferences for presentations, discussion and question-answer sessions, and guidance are set.


### 6.2 Distance learning material at the appropriate level according to EQF

## Standards

- Twelve weekly interactive activities per each course are set.
- The distance learning material and activities take advantage of the capabilities offered by the virtual and audio-visual environment and the following are applied:
- Simulations in virtual environments
- Problem solving scenarios
- Interactive learning and formative assessment games
- Interactive weekly activities with image, sound and unlimited possibilities for reality reconstruction and further processing based on hypotheses
- They have the ability to transfer students to real-life situations, make decisions, and study the consequences of their decisions
- They help in building skills both in experiences and attitudes like in real life and also in experiencing - not just memorizing knowledge
- A pedagogical planning unit for distance learning, which is responsible for the support of the distance learning unit and addresses the requirements for study materials, interactive activities and formative assessment in accordance to international standards, is established.
6.3 Interaction plan and Interactive weekly activities


## Standards

- A specific plan is developed to safeguard and assess the interaction:
- among students
- between students and teaching staff
- between students and study guides/material of study
- Training, guidance and support are provided to the students and teaching staff focusing on interaction and the specificities of distance learning.


### 6.4 Study guides

## Standards

- A study guide for each course, fully aligned with distance learning philosophy and methodology and the need for student interaction with the material is developed. The study guide should include, for each course week / module, the following:
- Clearly defined objectives and expected learning outcomes of the programme, of the modules and activities in an organised and coherent manner
- Presentation of course material, and students' activities on a weekly basis, in a variety of ways and means (e.g. printed material, electronic material, teleconferencing, multimedia)
- Weekly outline of set activities and exercises and clear instructions for creating posts, discussion, and feedback
- Self-assessment exercises and self-correction guide
- Bibliographic references and suggestions for further study
- Number of assignments/papers and their topics, along with instructions and additional study material
- Synopsis
- Study guides, material and activities are appropriate for the level of the programme according to the EQF.

You may also consider the following questions:

- Is the nature of the programme compatible with distance learning delivery?
- How do the programme, the material, the facilities, and the guidelines safeguard the interaction between students, students and teaching staff, students and the material?
- How many students upload their work and discuss it in the platform during the semester?
- Are the academics qualified to teach in the distance learning programme?


## eqar|III, enQる.

## Findings

A short description of the situation in the Higher Education Institution (HEI), based on elements from the application for external evaluation and on findings from the onsite visit.
N/A

Strengths
A list of strengths, e.g. examples of good practices, achievements, innovative solutions etc.
N/A

Areas of improvement and recommendations
A list of problem areas to be dealt with, followed by or linked to the recommendations of how to improve the situation.

N/A

Please select what is appropriate for each of the following sub-areas:

| Sub-area |  | Non-compliant// <br> Partially Compliant/Compliant |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 6.1 | Distance learning philosophy and methodology | Not applicable |
| 6.2 | Distance learning material at the appropriate level <br> according to EQF | Not applicable |
| 6.3 | Interaction plan and Interactive weekly activities | Not applicable |
| 6.4 | Study guides | Not applicable |

## 7. Additional for doctoral programmes (ALL ESG)

## Sub-areas

7.1 Selection criteria and requirements
7.2 Proposal and dissertation
7.3 Supervision and committees

### 7.1 Selection criteria and requirements

Standards

- Specific criteria that the potential students need to meet for admission in the programme, as well as how the selection procedures are made, are defined.
- The following requirements of the doctoral degree programme are analysed and published:
- the stages of completion
- the minimum and maximum time of completing the programme
- the examinations
- the procedures for supporting and accepting the student's proposal
- the criteria for obtaining the Ph.D. degree


### 7.2 Proposal and dissertation

## Standards

- Specific and clear guidelines for the writing of the proposal and the dissertation are set regarding:
- the chapters that are contained
- the system used for the presentation of each chapter, sub-chapters and bibliography
- the minimum word limit
- the binding, the cover page and the prologue pages, including the pages supporting the authenticity, originality and importance of the dissertation, as well as the reference to the committee for the final evaluation
- There is a plagiarism check system. Information is provided on the detection of plagiarism and the consequences in case of such misconduct.
- The process of submitting the dissertation to the university library is set.


### 7.3Supervision and committees

Standards

- The composition, the procedure and the criteria for the formation of the advisory committee (to whom the doctoral student submits the research proposal) are determined.
- The composition, the procedure and the criteria for the formation of the examining committee (to whom the doctoral student defends his/her dissertation), are determined.
- The duties of the supervisor-chairperson and the other members of the advisory committee towards the student are determined and include:
- regular meetings
- reports per semester and feedback from supervisors
- support for writing research papers
- participation in conferences
- The number of doctoral students that each chairperson supervises at the same time are determined.

You may also consider the following questions:

- How is the scientific quality of the PhD thesis ensured?
- Is there a link between the doctoral programmes of study and the society? What is the value of the obtained degree outside academia and in the labour market?
- Can you please provide us with some dissertation samples?


## Findings

A short description of the situation in the Higher Education Institution (HEI), based on elements from the application for external evaluation and on findings from the onsite visit.
N/A

## Strengths

A list of strengths, e.g. examples of good practices, achievements, innovative solutions etc.
N/A

Areas of improvement and recommendations
A list of problem areas to be dealt with, followed by or linked to the recommendations of how to improve the situation.

N/A

Please select what is appropriate for each of the following sub-areas:

| Sub-area |  | Non-compliant/ <br> Partially Compliant/Compliant |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 7.1 | Selection criteria and requirements | Not applicable |
| 7.2 | Proposal and dissertation | Not applicable |
| 7.3 | Supervision and committees | Not applicable |

ФОРЕА $\Sigma ~ \triangle I A \Sigma Ф A N I \Sigma H \Sigma ~ K A I ~ П I \Sigma T O П O I H \Sigma H \Sigma ~ T H \Sigma ~ П О І О Т Н T A \Sigma ~ T H \Sigma ~ A N \Omega T E P H \Sigma ~ E K П A I \triangle E Y \Sigma H \Sigma ~$
CYPRUS AGENCY OF QUALITY ASSURANCE AND ACCREDITATION IN HIGHER EDUCATION
eqar|III, enQる.

## 8. Additional for joint programmes (ALL ESG)

## Sub-areas <br> 8.1 Legal framework and cooperation agreement 8.2 The joint programme

### 8.1 Legal framework and cooperation agreement

## Standards

- The joint programme is offered in accordance with legal frameworks of the relevant national higher education systems.
- The terms and conditions of the joint programme are laid down in a cooperation agreement. The agreement in particular covers the following issues:
- Denomination of the degree(s) awarded in the programme
- Coordination and responsibilities of the partners involved regarding management and financial organisation, including funding, sharing of costs and income, resources for mobility of staff and students
- Admission and selection procedures for students
- Mobility of students and teaching staff
- Examination regulations, student assessment methods, recognition of credits and degree awarding procedures
- Handling of different semester periods, if existent


### 8.2 The joint programme

## Standards

- The partner universities apply joint internal quality assurance processes.
- The joint programme is offered jointly, involving all cooperating universities in the design, delivery and further development of the programme.
- Aims and learning outcomes are clearly stated, including a joint syllabus, language policy, as well as an account of the intended added value of the programme.
- Study counselling and mobility plans are efficient and take into account the needs of different kinds of students.

You may also consider the following questions:

- Does the joint study programme conform to the requirements of a study programme offered at the specific level?
- Is there a system that assures the quality of joint provision and guarantees that the aims of the programme are met?
- Do the mechanisms for ensuring the quality of the joint study programme take into consideration the European Standards and Guidelines (ESG)? Are they adopted by all the universities involved?
- Is the division of responsibilities in ensuring quality clearly defined among the partner universities?
- Is relevant information about the programme, e.g. admission requirements and procedures, course catalogue, examination and assessment procedures, well documented and published by taking into account the specific needs of students?
- What is the added value of the programme of study?
- Is there a sustainable funding strategy among the partner universities? Explain.


## Findings

A short description of the situation in the Higher Education Institution (HEI), based on elements from the application for external evaluation and on findings from the onsite visit.
N/A

## Strengths

A list of strengths, e.g. examples of good practices, achievements, innovative solutions etc.
N/A

## Areas of improvement and recommendations

A list of problem areas to be dealt with, followed by or linked to the recommendations of how to improve the situation.

N/A

Please select what is appropriate for each of the following sub-areas:

| Sub-area |  | Non-compliant/ <br> Partially Compliant/Compliant |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $\mathbf{8 . 1}$ | Legal framework and cooperation agreement | Not applicable |
| $\mathbf{8 . 2}$ | The joint programme | Not applicable |

eqar|III enQる.

## D. Conclusions and final remarks

Please provide constructive conclusions and final remarks which may form the basis upon which improvements of the quality of the programme of study under review may be achieved, with emphasis on the correspondence with the EQF.
Overall, the EEC found the University, department and programme policies and their implementation along the expected national and international practices. The programme has been running successfully for a number of years and meets its objectives. Revisions based on the feedback provided by the EEC could further strengthen and improve the program. We advise the faculty to take into consideration the feedback provided above and adopt necessary changes (especially related to the curriculum).

We thank colleagues for their support and their professionalism during the online evaluation.
We remain at the disposal of CYQAA for any clarification necessary.
E. Signatures of the EEC

| Name |  |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
| Prof Saveras Papagiannidis |  |
| Dr Christos Kolympiris, |  |
| Dr Simos Chari |  |
| Charalampia Vraka |  |

Date: 11/09/2020

